COMPACT GROUP AUTOMORPHISMS, ADDITION FORMULAS AND FUGLEDE-KADISON DETERMINANTS

HANFENG LI

ABSTRACT. For a countable amenable group Γ and an element f in the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ being invertible in the group von Neumann algebra of Γ, we show that the entropy of the shift action of Γ on the Pontryagin dual of the quotient of $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ by its left ideal generated by f is the logarithm of the Fuglede-Kadison determinant of f. For the proof, we establish an ℓ^p-version of Rufus Bowen's definition of topological entropy, addition formulas for group extensions of countable amenable group actions, and an approximation formula for the Fuglede-Kadison determinant of f in terms of the determinants of perturbations of the compressions of f.

1. INTRODUCTION

There are two motivations for this paper. First, for topological or measure-preserving actions of countable amenable groups, one has the entropy defined [57, 60]. But unlike the case of $\mathbb{Z}$-actions or $\mathbb{Z}^d$-actions (for $2 \leq d < \infty$), not many examples have been calculated for nonabelian group actions. Second, the study of automorphisms of compact metrizable groups has drawn much attention in the development of ergodic theory, because of the rich interplay between dynamics and compact group structures. Though the $\mathbb{Z}$-actions of compact metrizable groups by automorphisms are well understood (cf. [39, 43, 52, 81, 82]), and much is known for $\mathbb{Z}^d$-actions (cf. [21, 36, 40–42, 67, 71–73, 78]), very little has been understood for general countable amenable group actions (cf. [3, 13, 17, 20, 54, 55]).

In this paper, we calculate the entropy for a rich class of actions of countable amenable groups on compact metrizable groups by automorphisms, providing some steps towards understanding the entropy theory of such algebraic actions.

Let Γ be a countable amenable group, and let f be an element in the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$. One may consider the quotient $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathbb{Z}\Gamma f$ of $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ by the left ideal $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma f$ generated by f. Then Γ acts on the abelian group $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathbb{Z}\Gamma f$ by automorphisms via left translation, and hence acts on its Pontryagin dual (a compact metrizable abelian

Date: December 28, 2011.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37B40, 37A35, 22D25.

Key words and phrases. Entropy, amenable group, group extension, addition formula, Fuglede-Kadison determinant.

Partially supported by NSF Grants DMS-0701414 and DMS-1001625.

group)

$$X_f := \widehat{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathbb{Z}\Gamma f}$$

by automorphisms. Denote the latter action by α_f. Explicitly, X_f consists of elements h in $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^\Gamma$ satisfying

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} f_\gamma h_{\gamma^{-1}\gamma'} = 0$$

for all $\gamma' \in \Gamma$, and the action α_f is the restriction of the right shift action of Γ on $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^\Gamma$ to X_f, i.e., $(\gamma h)_{\gamma'} = h_{\gamma'\gamma}$ for all $h \in X_f$ and $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$ (see Section 3). The topological entropy and the measure-theoretical entropy (with respect to the normalized Haar measure) of α_f coincide [13], and will be denoted by $\mathrm{h}(\alpha_f)$.

When $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$, one may identify $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ with the one-variable Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[u^{\pm 1}]$ via identifying $1 \in \mathbb{Z} = \Gamma$ with u. Writing $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ as $u^{-k}(\sum_{j=0}^n c_j u^j)$ with $n \geq 0$ and $c_n c_0 \neq 0$, and denoting by $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ the roots of $\sum_{j=0}^n c_j u^j$, Yuzvinskiĭ [82] showed that

$$\mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) = \log|c_n| + \sum_{j=1}^n \log^+|\lambda_j|, \tag{1}$$

where $\log^+ t = \log\max(1, t)$ for $t \geq 0$. In general, Yuzvinskiĭ calculated the entropy of any endomorphism of a compact metrizable group [82].

When $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^d$ for some $1 \leq d < \infty$, one may identify $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ with the d-variable Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[u_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, u_d^{\pm 1}]$ naturally. For nonzero $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}[u_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, u_d^{\pm 1}]$, Lind, Schmidt and Ward [42, 71] showed that

$$\mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) = \log \mathbb{M}(f), \tag{2}$$

where $\mathbb{M}(f)$ is the *Mahler measure* of f [50, 51] defined as

$$\mathbb{M}(f) = \exp\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \log|f(s)|\, ds\right)$$

for $\mathbb{T}$ being the unit circle in $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{T}^d$ being endowed with the normalized Haar measure. (When $f = 0$, clearly $\mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) = \infty$.) And this is the main step in their calculation for the entropy of any action of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ on a compact metrizable group by automorphisms [42, 71]. In the case $d = 1$, the calculation (2) reduces to (1) via Jensen's formula.

Several years before Mahler introduced the Mahler measure, in [24] Fuglede and Kadison introduced a determinant $\det_A f$ for invertible elements f in a unital C^*-algebra A with respect to a tracial state tr_A. It has found wide application in the study of L^2-invariants [48]. For a discrete group Γ, the group ring $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ sits naturally in the left group von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$ has a canonical tracial state $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}$. Thus one may consider $\det_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f$ for invertible $f \in \mathcal{L}\Gamma$. When $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^d$, $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ is invertible in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$ if and only if f has no zero point on $\mathbb{T}^d$. In such case, $\det_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f$ is exactly $\mathbb{M}(f)$ [13].

In [13] Deninger pointed out the possibility of $\mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) = \log \det_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f$ for general countable amenable groups Γ and $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$, and confirmed it in the special case that f is invertible in $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ (this is stronger than the condition that f is invertible in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$, see Appendix A) and positive in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$ and that Γ has a log-strong Følner sequence. Deninger and Schmidt [17] also confirmed it in the special case that f is invertible in $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ and that Γ is (amenable and) residually finite. The connection between entropy, Mahler measure and Fuglede-Kadison determinant has been further explored by Deninger in [14–16].

Our main result in this paper is

Theorem 1.1. *Let Γ be a countable amenable group and let $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ be invertible in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$. Then*

$$\mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) = \log \det_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f.$$

One of the dynamical consequences of Theorem 1.1 and the general properties of the Fuglede-Kadison determinant is that under the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1, the actions α_f and α_{f^*} have the same entropy, where f^* is the adjoint of f defined as $(f^*)_\gamma = f_{\gamma^{-1}}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. This is a very non-trivial fact, as a priori there is no relation between α_f and α_{f^*} unless f is in the center of $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$.

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 consists of three steps.

In the first step, we establish Theorem 1.1 under the further assumption that f is positive in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$. Since the invertibility of f in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$ means that f^{-1} exists as a bounded linear operator on $\ell^2(\Gamma)$, while Rufus Bowen's definition of topological entropy is taking the maximum of distances between finite orbits of points and should be thought of an ℓ^∞-distance, we develop an ℓ^2-version of his definition in Section 4, which is of independent interest. Then we prove the positive case of Theorem 1.1 in Section 5, using an estimate of number of integral points in balls and an approximation formula of Deninger for $\det_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f$ in such case.

In the second step, we prove the Yuzvinskiĭ addition formula in Section 6, which says that the entropy of a Γ-action on a compact metrizable group by automorphisms is the sum of the entropy of the restriction of the action to an invariant closed subgroup and the entropy of the induced action on the quotient group. This formula allows us to reduce the calculation for the entropy of one action to that for the entropy of simpler actions. In fact, we establish addition formulas for group extensions in both topological and measure-theoretical settings, and the formula in either of these settings implies the Yuzvinskiĭ addition formula. The proof for each of these addition formulas employs both topological and measure-theoretical tools, using generalization of the various fibre and conditional entropies studied in [19], and the addition formula for Γ-extensions in [12, 79] which in turn depends on Rudolph and Weiss's orbit equivalence method in [68].

The third step is to prove $\mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) \geq \log \det_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f$ under the hypothesis in Theorem 1.1. Compared to the positive case in step one, the main difficulty here is that the compression of f to a nonempty finite subset of Γ map fail to be invertible.

Our method of dealing with this difficulty is to *perturb* the compression of f to an invertible linear operator. For this purpose, we establish an approximation formula for $\log \det_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f$ in terms of the determinants of the compressions, in Section 7. This uses an approximation formula for traces, initiated by Lück in work on L^2-invariants [47] and extended by Schick in [70]. We complete the third step in Section 8, using Ornstein and Weiss's theory of quasitiling in [60].

The proof of Theorem 1.1, which uses the fact that the Fuglede-Kadison determinants of f and f^* are equal, is finished in Section 9. Some dynamical consequences of the theorem including the equality of $\mathrm{h}(\alpha_f)$ and $\mathrm{h}(\alpha_{f^*})$ are also established there. We recall some background in Section 2, and give a proof of the case Γ is finite in Section 3, which shows clearly how the entropy and the Fuglede-Kadison determinant are connected via several equalities. In an appendix, we compare invertibility in $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$.

Recently, entropy has been defined for continuous actions of a countable sofic group on compact metrizable spaces and measure-preserving actions of a countable sofic group on standard probability measure spaces, with respect to a sofic approximation sequence of the sofic group [4, 34]. The class of sofic groups include all discrete amenable groups and residually finite groups. The sofic entropies coincide with the classical entropies when the sofic group is amenable [6, 35]. For a countable residually finite (not necessarily amenable) group Γ and an $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$, when the sofic approximation sequence of Γ comes from a sequence of finite-index normal subgroups of Γ, in various cases it has been shown that the sofic topological entropy and the sofic measure entropy (for the normalized Haar measure of X_f) of α_f are equal to $\log \det_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f$ [5, 7, 34].

Throughout this paper, for a group G, we denote by e_G the identity element of G. For a discrete group Γ, we write $\mathbb{C}[[\Gamma]]$, $\mathbb{R}[[\Gamma]]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[[\Gamma]]$ for $\mathbb{C}^\Gamma$, $\mathbb{R}^\Gamma$ and $\mathbb{Z}^\Gamma$ respectively. For a finite set F, we write $\mathbb{C}[F]$ for $\mathbb{C}^F$, and equip it with the standard ℓ^2-norm. For a Hilbert space H, we denote by $B(H)$ the set of bounded linear operators on H, and equip it with the operator norm $\|\cdot\|$.

After this paper was finished, Douglas Lind informed us that Douglas Lind and Klaus Schmidt have independently obtained results similar to ours in Section 6.

Acknowledgements. I thank George Elliott, Wen Huang and Zhuang Niu for helpful discussion, especially my colleague Jingbo Xia for discussion about Example A.1. I also thank Lewis Bowen, Christopher Deninger, Douglas Lind, Andreas Thom, Thomas Ward and the referee for very helpful comments. Part of this work was carried out while I visited Fields Institute in Summer 2007 and Vanderbilt University in Fall 2009. I am grateful to Guoliang Yu for his warm hospitality.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Background on Entropy Theory.** In this subsection we recall some background about the entropy theory. The reader is referred to [25, 57, 61, 77] for detail.

Throughout this paper Γ will be a discrete amenable group, unless specified otherwise. The amenability of Γ means that Γ has a (left) Følner net $\{F_n\}_{n\in J}$, i.e., each F_n is a nonempty finite subset of Γ, and $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{|KF_n\Delta F_n|}{|F_n|}=0$ for every finite subset K of Γ [63].

The following subadditivity result is known as the Ornstein-Weiss lemma [44, Theorem 6.1].

Proposition 2.1. *If φ is a real-valued function which is defined on the set of nonempty finite subsets of Γ and satisfies*

(1) $0\le\varphi(F)<+\infty$,
(2) $\varphi(F)\le\varphi(F')$ *for all* $F\subseteq F'$,
(3) $\varphi(F\gamma)=\varphi(F)$ *for all nonempty finite* $F\subseteq\Gamma$ *and* $\gamma\in\Gamma$,
(4) $\varphi(F\cup F')\le\varphi(F)+\varphi(F')$ *if* $F\cap F'=\emptyset$,

then $\frac{1}{|F|}\varphi(F)$ converges to some limit b as the set F becomes more and more (left) invariant in the sense that for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exist a nonempty finite set $K\subseteq\Gamma$ and a $\delta>0$ such that $\left|\frac{1}{|F|}\varphi(F)-b\right|<\varepsilon$ for all nonempty finite sets $F\subseteq\Gamma$ satisfying $|KF\Delta F|\le\delta|F|$.

Let α be an action of Γ on a compact Hausdorff space X by homeomorphisms. For any open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of X, and any nonempty finite subset F of Γ, set $\mathcal{U}^F=\bigvee_{\gamma\in F}\gamma^{-1}\mathcal{U}$ and denote by $N(\mathcal{U})$ the minimal number of elements in $\mathcal{U}$ needed to cover X. Then the function $F\mapsto\log N(\mathcal{U}^F)$ defined on the set of nonempty finite subsets of Γ satisfies the conditions in Proposition 2.1, and hence $\frac{1}{|F|}\log N(\mathcal{U}^F)$ converges as F becomes more and more (left) invariant. We denote this limit by $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha,\mathcal{U})$. The *topological entropy* of α, denoted by $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha)$, is defined as the supremum of $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha,\mathcal{U})$ over all finite open covers $\mathcal{U}$ of X.

Let α be an action of Γ on a probability space $(X,\mathcal{B},\mu)$ by automorphisms. For any finite measurable partition $\mathcal{P}=\{P_1,\dots,P_k\}$ of X, and any nonempty finite subset F of Γ, set $\mathcal{P}^F=\bigvee_{\gamma\in F}\gamma^{-1}\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathrm{H}_\mu(\mathcal{P})=\sum_{j=1}^k-\mu(P_j)\log\mu(P_j)$, where we take the convention that $0\log 0=0$ so that the function $t\mapsto t\log t$ is continuous for $0\le t\le 1$. The function $F\mapsto\mathrm{H}_\mu(\mathcal{P}^F)$ defined on the set of nonempty finite subsets of Γ satisfies the conditions in Proposition 2.1, and hence $\frac{1}{|F|}\log\mathrm{H}_\mu(\mathcal{P}^F)$ converges as F becomes more and more (left) invariant. We denote this limit by $\mathrm{h}_\mu(\alpha,\mathcal{P})$. The *measure entropy* or *Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy* of α, denoted by $\mathrm{h}_\mu(\alpha)$, is defined as the supremum of $\mathrm{h}_\mu(\alpha,\mathcal{P})$ over all finite measurable partitions $\mathcal{P}$ of X.

A topological space is called a *Polish space* if it is separable and admits a compatible complete metric. A probability space $(X,\mathcal{B},\mu)$ is called a *standard* if $\mathcal{B}$ is the Borel σ-algebra for some Polish topology on X. Suppose that $(X,\mathcal{B},\mu)$ is standard and that $\mathcal{B}'$ is a sub-σ-algebra of $\mathcal{B}$. Then there is a map $\mathbb{E}(\cdot|\mathcal{B}'):L^1(X,\mathcal{B},\mu)\to$

$L^1(X, \mathcal{B}', \mu)$, called the *conditional expectation*, determined by

$$\int_A \mathbb{E}(f|\mathcal{B}')(x)\, d\mu(x) = \int_A f(x)\, d\mu(x)$$

for every $f \in L^1(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}'$. Here one can use either complex or real valued functions for $L^1(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ and $L^1(X, \mathcal{B}', \mu)$. For any $A \in \mathcal{B}$, one has $0 \leq \mathbb{E}(1_A|\mathcal{B}')(x) \leq 1$ for μ a.e. $x \in X$, where 1_A denotes the characteristic function of A. For any finite measurable partition $\mathcal{P}$ of X, set $\mathrm{H}_\mu(\mathcal{P}|\mathcal{B}') = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} - \int_P \log \mathbb{E}(1_P|\mathcal{B}')(x)\, d\mu(x)$. Now assume further that $\mathcal{B}'$ is Γ-invariant. Then the function $F \mapsto \mathrm{H}_\mu(\mathcal{P}^F|\mathcal{B}')$ defined on the set of nonempty finite subsets of Γ satisfies the conditions in Proposition 2.1, and hence $\frac{1}{|F|}\mathrm{H}_\mu(\mathcal{P}^F|\mathcal{B}')$ converges as F becomes more and more (left) invariant. We denote this limit by $\mathrm{h}_\mu(\alpha, \mathcal{P}|\mathcal{B}')$. The *conditional entropy of* α *given* $\mathcal{B}'$, denoted by $\mathrm{h}_\mu(\alpha|\mathcal{B}')$, is defined as the supremum of $\mathrm{h}_\mu(\alpha, \mathcal{P}|\mathcal{B}')$ over all finite measurable partitions $\mathcal{P}$ of X.

For a compact space X, denote by $\mathcal{B}_X$ the Borel σ-algebra of X. If α is an action of Γ on a compact space X by homeomorphisms, and μ is a regular Γ-invariant Borel probability measure on X, then α is also an action of Γ on the probability space $(X, \mathcal{B}_X, \mu)$ by automorphisms.

Note that every (continuous) automorphism of a compact group preserves the normalized Haar measure. Thus if α is an action of Γ on a compact group G by automorphisms, it automatically preserves the normalized Haar measure μ of G. Then we have both the topological entropy $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha)$ and the measure entropy $\mathrm{h}_\mu(\alpha)$. It is a result of Deninger that these two entropies coincide [13, Theorem 2.2]. (It was assumed in [13, Theorem 2.2] that G is abelian; but this is not needed.) (The case $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ was proved by Berg [2]; the case $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^d$ was proved by Lind et al. [42, page 624] [71, Theorem 13.3].) Thus we shall denote $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha)$ and $\mathrm{h}_\mu(\alpha)$ simply by $\mathrm{h}(\alpha)$.

2.2. **Background on Group von Neumann Algebras and Fuglede-Kadison Determinants.** In this subsection we recall some background about the group von Neumann algebra and the Fuglede-Kadison determinant.

For a Hilbert space H, the set $B(H)$ is a $*$-algebra with T^* being the adjoint of T, and is equipped with the operator norm $\|\cdot\|$. A C^*-*algebra* is a sub-$*$-algebra of $B(H)$ for some Hilbert space H, closed under $\|\cdot\|$. An element a in A is called *positive* and written as $a \geq 0$ if $a = b^*b$ for some $b \in A$. A tracial state of a unital C^*-algebra A is a linear functional $\mathrm{tr}_A : A \to \mathbb{C}$ such that tr_A takes value 1 at the identity of A, $|\mathrm{tr}_A(a)| \leq \|a\|$ and $\mathrm{tr}_A(ab) = \mathrm{tr}_A(ba)$ for all $a, b \in A$. We refer the reader to [31, 75] for detail.

In this paper we shall need only three classes of C^*-algebras and tracial states. The first class is the C^*-algebra $B(\ell^2_n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Each $B(\ell^2_n)$ has a unique tracial state $\mathrm{tr}_{B(\ell^2_n)}$. If we take an orthonormal basis of ℓ^2_n and identify $B(\ell^2_n)$ with $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, then $\mathrm{tr}_{B(\ell^2)}(a) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n a_{j,j}$ for every matrix $a = (a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Let Γ be a discrete amenable group. The complex group algebra $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ consists of elements in $\mathbb{C}^\Gamma$ with finite support. Its multiplication is defined as $(fg)_{\gamma'} = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} f_\gamma g_{\gamma^{-1}\gamma'}$ for all $f, g \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. We shall also extend this multiplication to the cases like $g \in \mathbb{C}[[\Gamma]]$, or $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ and $g \in (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^\Gamma$ whenever it can be defined. One may identify $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ as a linear subspace of $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ naturally. For each $f \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma$, its left multiplication $g \mapsto fg$ for $g \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma$ extends to a bounded linear map of $\ell^2(\Gamma)$. In this way we shall identify $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ as a subalgebra of $B(\ell^2(\Gamma))$. It is easily checked that $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ is closed under taking adjoint in $B(\ell^2(\Gamma))$. Explicitly, $(f^*)_\gamma = \overline{f_{\gamma^{-1}}}$ for all $f \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. The second class of C^*-algebras we need, the left group von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$, is defined as the closure of $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ under the strong operator topology. Explicitly, $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$ consists of $T \in B(\ell^2(\Gamma))$ commuting with the right regular representation ρ of Γ on $\ell^2(\Gamma)$, i.e., $(T(h\gamma))_{\gamma'} = (Th)_{\gamma'\gamma}$ for all $h \in \ell^2(\Gamma)$ and $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$, where $(h\gamma)_{\gamma''} = h_{\gamma''\gamma}$ for all $\gamma'' \in \Gamma$. The algebra $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$ has a canonical tracial state $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}$ defined as $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(a) = \langle ae_\Gamma, e_\Gamma\rangle$. The trace $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}$ is faithful in the sense that if $a \in \mathcal{L}\Gamma$ is positive and $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(a) = 0$, then $a = 0$. Throughout this article, we fix this tracial state of $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$, and the determinant $\det\mathcal{L}\Gamma$ is calculated with respect to it.

Another way to describe the elements of $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$ is that they are the elements h of $\mathbb{C}[[\Gamma]]$ for which the map from $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ to $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ sending x to hx is well-defined and extends to a bounded linear operator on $\ell^2(\Gamma)$. It is easy to see that if h_1 and h_2 are in $\mathbb{R}[[\Gamma]]$, then $h_1 + ih_2$ is in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$ if and only if both h_1 and h_2 are in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$. It follows that if $h \in \mathbb{R}[[\Gamma]] \cap \mathcal{L}\Gamma$ is invertible in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$, then its inverse lies in $\mathbb{R}[[\Gamma]]$ and hence preserves $\ell^2_{\mathbb{R}}(\Gamma)$.

The third class of C^*-algebras we need is the unital commutative C^*-algebras. They can be described as unital commutative Banach complex algebras A with a $*$-operation satisfying $(a^*)^* = a$, $(\lambda a + b)^* = \bar{\lambda} a^* + b^*$, $(ab)^* = b^*a^*$, $\|a^*\| = \|a\|$ and $\|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2$ for all $a, b \in A$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

For a tracial state tr_A of a unital C^*-algebra A, the *Fuglede-Kadison determinant* of an invertible $a \in A$ with respect to tr_A [24] is defined as

$$\det_A a := \exp(\mathrm{tr}_A \log |a|) = \exp(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}_A \log(a^*a)), \tag{3}$$

where $|a| = (a^*a)^{1/2}$ is the absolute part of a. (The Fuglede-Kadison determinant is also defined for noninvertible elements of A, but the definition is more involved.) For detail and application of the Fuglede-Kadison determinant to L^2-invariants, see [48].

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any invertible $a \in B(\ell^2_n)$, one has $\det_{B(\ell^2_n)}(a) = |\det a|^{1/n}$.

Among many nice properties of the Fuglede-Kadison determinant, we shall need the following ones:

Theorem 2.2. *[24, Lemma 1, Theorem 1] Let* tr *be a tracial state of a unital* C^**-algebra* A*. Then*

(1) *for any invertible* $a \in A$*, one has* $\det_A(a) = \det_A(a^*)$*;*
(2) *for any* $0 \leq a \leq b$ *in* A *with* a *being invertible in* A*, one has* $\det_A a \leq \det_A b$*.*

3. Finite Group Case

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1 for the case Γ is finite. This case is easily proved and appeared in [13, Section 7]. However, we choose to give a proof of this case here, since it reveals the essence of the equality in Theorem 1.1.

The following lemma is well known [74, Lemma 4]. For the convenience of the reader, we give a proof.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *and let* $T : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ *be an invertible linear map, preserving* $\mathbb{Z}^n$*. Then* $|\det T| = |\mathbb{Z}^n/T\mathbb{Z}^n|$*.*

Proof. Note that $T\mathbb{Z}^n$ has rank n. By the elementary divisor theorem [37, Theorem III.7.8] there are a basis $e_1, \dots, e_n$ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and nonzero integers $k_1, \dots, k_n$ such that $k_1e_1, \dots, k_ne_n$ is a basis of $T\mathbb{Z}^n$. Since $Te_1, \dots, Te_n$ is also a basis of $T\mathbb{Z}^n$, there exists $Q \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ with $(Te_1, \dots, Te_n) = (k_1e_1, \dots, k_ne_n)Q$. Then the matrix of T under the basis $e_1, \dots, e_n$ is $\mathrm{diag}(k_1, \dots, k_n) \cdot Q$. Thus

$$|\det T| \;=\; |\det(\mathrm{diag}(k_1, \dots, k_n) \cdot Q)| = |\prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} k_j| = |\mathbb{Z}^n/T\mathbb{Z}^n|. \tag{4}$$

□

Let Γ be a discrete amenable group and let $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$. The canonical pairing between $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ and its Pontryagin dual $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma} = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^\Gamma$ is given by

$$\langle g, h \rangle = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} g_\gamma h_\gamma$$

for all $g \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ and $h \in (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^\Gamma$. It is easy to check that

$$\langle gf, h \rangle = \langle g, hf^* \rangle$$

for all $g \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ and $h \in (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^\Gamma$. It follows that $X_f = \{h \in (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^\Gamma : hf^* = 0\}$ and α_f is the restriction of the left shift action of Γ on $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^\Gamma$ to X_f. For $h \in (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^\Gamma$, denote by $\tilde{h}$ the "adjoint" element in $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^\Gamma$ defined as $\tilde{h}_\gamma = h_{\gamma^{-1}}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Note that the map $h \mapsto \tilde{h}$ is an automorphism of the compact group $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^\Gamma$, and intertwines the left and right shift actions of Γ. The image of X_f under this map is $\{h \in (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^\Gamma : fh = 0\}$. In the rest of this paper, we shall write

$$X_f = \{h \in (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^\Gamma : fh = 0\}, \tag{5}$$

and under this identification α_f is the restriction of the right shift action of Γ on $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^\Gamma$ to X_f.

Theorem 3.2. *Let Γ be a finite group and let $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ be invertible in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$. Then*

$$\mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma|}\log|X_f| = \frac{1}{|\Gamma|}\log|\mathbb{Z}\Gamma/f\mathbb{Z}\Gamma| = \frac{1}{|\Gamma|}\log|\det f| = \log \det_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f.$$

Proof. From the definition of topological entropy, we have $\mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma|}\log|X_f|$.

Note that both X_f and $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma/f\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ are abelian groups. We claim that they are isomorphic. Writing $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^\Gamma$ as $\mathbb{R}\Gamma/\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$, we may identify X_f with $\{g \in \mathbb{R}\Gamma : fg \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma\}/\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$. Since the left multiplication by f restricts to a group automorphism of $\mathbb{R}\Gamma$ and sends $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ onto $f\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$, the claim is proved. It follows that $|X_f| = |\mathbb{Z}\Gamma/f\mathbb{Z}\Gamma|$.

By Lemma 3.1 one has $|\mathbb{Z}\Gamma/f\mathbb{Z}\Gamma| = |\det f|$.

Note that the unique tracial state of $B(\ell^2(\Gamma))$ restricts to the canonical trace of $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$. Thus $\det_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f = \det_{B(\ell^2(\Gamma))} f = |\det f|^{\frac{1}{|\Gamma|}}$. $\square$

Notation 3.3. For any nonempty finite subset F of Γ, denote by p_F the restriction map $\mathbb{C}[[\Gamma]] \to \mathbb{C}[F]$, and by ι_F the embedding $\mathbb{C}[F] \to \ell^2(\Gamma)$. For $f \in \mathcal{L}\Gamma$, set $f_F := p_F \circ f \circ \iota_F \in B(\mathbb{C}[F])$.

Now consider the case Γ is infinite countable. Let $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a (left) Følner sequence of Γ, and let $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ be invertible in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$. Since F_n is the analogue of a finite group, the analogue of Theorem 3.2 is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{|F_n|}\log s_{F_n,\infty}(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{|F_n|}\log|\mathbb{Z}[F_n]/f_{F_n}\mathbb{Z}[F_n]| \\
&= \frac{1}{|F_n|}\log|\det f_{F_n}| = \log\det_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f
\end{aligned}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $s_{F_n,\infty}(\varepsilon)$ is the cardinality of certain set resembling X_f restricted to F_n and will be defined at the beginning of Section 5. On the other hand, F_n approximates Γ as $n \to \infty$. Thus a more precise and reasonable analogue of Theorem 3.2 is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{|F_n|}\log s_{F_n,\infty}(\varepsilon) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{|F_n|}\log|\mathbb{Z}[F_n]/f_{F_n}\mathbb{Z}[F_n]| \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{|F_n|}\log|\det f_{F_n}| = \log\det_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f.
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Indeed, this is the intuition behind Theorem 1.1. But there is some immediate difficulty even for making sense of (6). For instance, f_{F_n} may fail to be invertible. In such case, $|\mathbb{Z}[F_n]/f_{F_n}\mathbb{Z}[F_n]| = \infty$ and $\det f_{F_n} = 0$.

4. ℓ^p-version of R. Bowen's Definition of Topological Entropy

In this section we prove Theorem 4.2, providing an ℓ^p-version of R. Bowen's definition of topological entropy. Throughout this section Γ is a discrete amenable group.

Let α be an action of Γ on a compact Hausdorff space X by homeomorphisms. Recall that a *continuous pseudometric* on X is a symmetric continuous map $X\times X\to\mathbb{R}_+$, vanishing on the diagonal of $X\times X$ and satisfying the triangle inequality. Denote by $\mathcal{M}$ the set of all continuous pseudometrics on X. Let $\vartheta\in\mathcal{M}$. For a nonempty finite subset $F\subseteq\Gamma$, $1\le p\le\infty$ and $x,y\in X$, denote by $d_{\vartheta,F,p}(x,y)$ the quotient of the ℓ^p-norm of the function $\gamma\mapsto\vartheta(\gamma x,\gamma y)$ on F divided by $|F|^{1/p}$. We say that $E\subseteq X$ is $[\vartheta,F,p,\varepsilon]$-*separated* if for any $x\neq y$ in E, $d_{\vartheta,F,p}(x,y)>\varepsilon$. We say that $E\subseteq X$ is $[\vartheta,F,p,\varepsilon]$-*spanning* if for any $x\in X$, there is some $y\in E$ with $d_{\vartheta,F,p}(x,y)\le\varepsilon$. Denote by $s_{\vartheta,F,p}(\varepsilon)$ ($r_{\vartheta,F,p}(\varepsilon)$ resp.) the maximal (minimal resp.) cardinality of $[\vartheta,F,p,\varepsilon]$-separated ($[\vartheta,F,p,\varepsilon]$-spanning resp.) subsets of X.

Lemma 4.1. *Let α be an action of Γ on a compact Hausdorff space X by homeomorphisms. Let ϑ be a continuous pseudometric of X. For any $\varepsilon>0$, $\lambda>1$, and $1\le p<\infty$, there exists some $\varepsilon'>0$ such that $\lambda^{|F|}s_{\vartheta,F,p}(\varepsilon')\ge s_{\vartheta,F,\infty}(\varepsilon)$ for all nonempty finite subsets F of Γ.*

Proof. Cover X by finitely many, say M, closed ϑ-balls of radius $\varepsilon/2$. By Stirling's formula there is some $c\in(0,1/2)$ such that $\binom{n}{cn}\le\lambda^{n/2}$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$. We may assume that $M^c\le\lambda^{1/2}$. Set $\varepsilon'=c^{\frac{1}{p}}\varepsilon/2$.

Let F be a nonempty finite subset of Γ and let E be a $[\vartheta,F,\infty,\varepsilon]$-separated subset of X with $|E|=s_{\vartheta,F,\infty}(\varepsilon)$. For each $x\in E$ denote by $B(x,\varepsilon/2)$ the set of elements y in E such that $|\{\gamma\in F:\vartheta(\gamma x,\gamma y)>\varepsilon/2\}|<c|F|$. If x and y are in E and $y\notin B(x,\varepsilon/2)$, then

$$d_{\vartheta,F,p}(x,y)>\frac{((\varepsilon/2)^pc|F|)^{1/p}}{|F|^{1/p}}=(\varepsilon/2)c^{1/p}=\varepsilon'.$$

Take a subset E' of E maximal with respect to the property that for any $x\neq y$ in E', $y\notin B(x,\varepsilon/2)$. Then $\bigcup_{x\in E'}B(x,\varepsilon/2)=E$ and E' is $[\vartheta,F,p,\varepsilon']$-separated. Denote by D the maximum of $|B(x,\varepsilon/2)|$ over all $x\in E$. Then $D|E'|\ge|E|$. Thus it suffices to show that $\lambda^{|F|}\ge D$.

Fix $x\in E$. For any $y\in B(x,\varepsilon/2)$ there is some $K_y\subseteq F$ with $|K_y|=\lfloor c|F|\rfloor$ and $\vartheta(\gamma x,\gamma y)\le\varepsilon/2$ for all $\gamma\in F\setminus K_y$, where $\lfloor t\rfloor$ denotes the largest integer no bigger than t. Then there are a subset B' of $B(x,\varepsilon/2)$ with $|B'|\ge|B(x,\varepsilon/2)|/\binom{|F|}{c|F|}$ and a subset K of F with $|K|=\lfloor c|F|\rfloor$ such that $K_y=K$ for all $y\in B'$. Then $\vartheta(\gamma y,\gamma z)\le\vartheta(\gamma y,\gamma x)+\vartheta(\gamma x,\gamma z)\le\varepsilon$ for all $y,z\in B'$ and $\gamma\in F\setminus K$. Note that, as a subset of E, B' is $[\vartheta,F,\infty,\varepsilon]$-separated. It follows that for any $y\neq z$ in B' there is some γ in K with $\vartheta(\gamma y,\gamma z)>\varepsilon$. Then γy and γz must lie in different closed balls which we take at the beginning of the proof. Consequently, $|B'|\le M^{|K|}$. Therefore

$$|B(x,\varepsilon/2)|\le|B'|\binom{|F|}{c|F|}\le M^{c|F|}\lambda^{|F|/2}\le\lambda^{|F|}.$$

This finishes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

We say that an open subset U of X is *generated by* ϑ if U is in the weakest topology of X making ϑ continuous, i.e., U is a union of open ϑ-balls with positive radii. We say that a finite open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ of X is *generated by* ϑ if each U_j is generated by ϑ. For any nonempty finite subset F of Γ, we define $\vartheta^F \in \mathcal{M}$ by setting $\vartheta^F(x,y) = \max_{\gamma \in F} \vartheta(\gamma x, \gamma y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. We say that an open subset U of X is *generated by* ϑ *under* α if U is contained in the weakest topology on X making all the pseudometrics $(x,y) \mapsto \vartheta(\gamma x, \gamma y)$ continuous, equivalently, U is a union of open sets U_F generated by ϑ^F for F running over nonempty finite subsets of Γ. We say that the topology of X is *generated by* ϑ *under* α if the topology on X is exactly the weakest topology making all the pseudometrics $(x,y) \mapsto \vartheta(\gamma x, \gamma y)$ continuous. Having zero ϑ-distance is an equivalence relation on X. For $x \in X$ denote by $[x]$ its equivalence class. Denote by X_ϑ the quotient space of X consisting of all such equivalence classes, equipped with the quotient topology. Then ϑ induces a metric on X_ϑ. Equip $(X_\vartheta)^\Gamma$ with the right shift action of Γ. It is easy to see that the topology of X is generated by ϑ under α if and only if the natural Γ-equivariant continuous map $X \to (X_\vartheta)^\Gamma$ sending x to $\gamma \mapsto [\gamma x]$ is an embedding, if and only if any two points x and y of X are equal exactly when $\vartheta(\gamma x, \gamma y) = 0$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. We say that a finite open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ of X is *generated by* ϑ *under* α if each U_j is so.

The case $p = \infty$ and $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^d$ of the following theorem was proved by Schmidt [71, Proposition 13.7], and the case $p = \infty$ for general Γ was proved by Deninger [13, Proposition 2.3]. For completeness we include also a proof for the case $p = \infty$ here.

Theorem 4.2. *Let α be an action of Γ on a compact Hausdorff space X by homeomorphisms. Let ϑ be a continuous pseudometric of X. Let $\{F_n\}_{n \in J}$ be a (left) Følner net of Γ. For any $1 \le p \le \infty$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{\mathcal{U}} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha, \mathcal{U}) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log s_{\vartheta, F_n, p}(\varepsilon) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log s_{\vartheta, F_n, p}(\varepsilon) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log r_{\vartheta, F_n, p}(\varepsilon) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log r_{\vartheta, F_n, p}(\varepsilon),\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{U}$ runs through all finite open covers of X generated by ϑ under α. In particular, if the topology of X is generated by ϑ under α, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log s_{\vartheta, F_n, p}(\varepsilon) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log s_{\vartheta, F_n, p}(\varepsilon) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log r_{\vartheta, F_n, p}(\varepsilon) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log r_{\vartheta, F_n, p}(\varepsilon).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We prove first the theorem for $p = \infty$. Note that

$$r_{\vartheta, F, \infty}(\varepsilon) \le s_{\vartheta, F, \infty}(\varepsilon) \le r_{\vartheta, F, \infty}(\varepsilon/2).$$

Thus it suffices to show that $\sup_{\mathcal{U}} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha, \mathcal{U}) \ge \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log s_{\vartheta, F_n, \infty}(\varepsilon)$ and $\sup_{\mathcal{U}} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha, \mathcal{U}) \le \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log s_{\vartheta, F_n, \infty}(\varepsilon)$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Take a finite open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of X consisting of open ϑ-balls with radius $\varepsilon/2$. Then $\mathcal{U}$ is generated by ϑ. We have $s_{\vartheta,F,\infty}(\varepsilon) \le N(\mathcal{U}^F)$ for every nonempty finite subset F of Γ, and hence $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log s_{\vartheta,F_n,\infty}(\varepsilon) \le \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha, \mathcal{U})$. Therefore $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log s_{\vartheta,F_n,\infty}(\varepsilon) \le \sup_{\mathcal{U}} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha, \mathcal{U})$.

Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a finite open cover of X generated by ϑ under α. Then we can find a finite open cover $\mathcal{V}$ of X finer than $\mathcal{U}$ such that $\mathcal{V}$ is generated by ϑ^K for some nonempty finite subset K of Γ. It follows that there exists some $\varepsilon > 0$ such that every open ϑ^K-ball with radius 3ε is contained in some element of $\mathcal{V}$. Cover X by finitely many, say M, open ϑ-balls with radius ε. We have

$$M^{|KF\setminus F|} r_{\vartheta,F,\infty}(\varepsilon) \ge r_{\vartheta,KF,\infty}(2\varepsilon) \ge N(\mathcal{V}^F) \ge N(\mathcal{U}^F)$$

for every nonempty finite subset F of Γ, and hence $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log r_{\vartheta,F_n,\infty}(\varepsilon) \ge \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha, \mathcal{U})$. Therefore $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log r_{\vartheta,F_n,\infty}(\varepsilon) \ge \sup_{\mathcal{U}} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha, \mathcal{U})$. This proves the case $p = \infty$.

Now the case $1 \le p < \infty$ follows from the case $p = \infty$, the facts $s_{\vartheta,F,p}(\varepsilon) \le s_{\vartheta,F,\infty}(\varepsilon)$ and $r_{\vartheta,F,p}(\varepsilon) \le s_{\vartheta,F,p}(\varepsilon) \le r_{\vartheta,F,p}(\varepsilon/2)$, and Lemma 4.1. $\square$

5. Positive Case

In this section we show that the intuitive equalities (6) do hold when f is positive (Theorem 5.6). This proves Theorem 1.1 in such case. Throughout this section Γ is a discrete amenable group.

Denote by ϑ the metric on $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ induced from the standard metric on $\mathbb{R}$, i.e. $\vartheta(t \mod \mathbb{Z}, t' \mod \mathbb{Z}) = \min_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} |t - t' - m|$. Recall the identification (5). Via the projection $X_f \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ sending x to x_{e_Γ}, we shall think of ϑ as a continuous pseudometric on X_f. Clearly the topology of X_f is generated by ϑ under α_f. Thus we can apply Theorem 4.2. We shall make use of the cases $p = 2$ and $p = \infty$. We shall abbreviate $s_{\vartheta,F,p}(\varepsilon)$ as $s_{F,p}(\varepsilon)$ etc.

The following result is crucial for the comparison of $s_{F,p}(\varepsilon)$, $r_{F,p}(\varepsilon)$ and $|\mathbb{Z}[F_n]/f_{F_n}\mathbb{Z}[F_n]|$.

Lemma 5.1. *There exists some universal constant $C > 0$ such that for any $\lambda > 1$, there is some $\delta > 0$ so that for any nonempty finite set Y, any positive integer n with $|Y| \le \delta n$, and any $M \ge 1$ one has*

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{Z}[Y] : \|x\|_2 \le M \cdot n^{1/2}\}| \le C\lambda^n M^{|Y|}.$$

Proof. Let $\delta > 0$ be a small number less than e^{-1}, which we shall determine later. Let Y be a nonempty finite set and n be a positive integer with $|Y| \le \delta n$. For each $x \in \mathbb{Z}[Y]$, denote $\{z \in \mathbb{R}[Y] : 0 \le z_y - x_y \le 1 \text{ for all } y \in Y\}$ by D_x. Denote $\{x \in \mathbb{Z}[Y] : \|x\|_2 \le M \cdot n^{1/2}\}$ by S and denote the union of D_x for all $x \in S$ by D_S. Then the (Euclidean) volume of D_S is equal to $|S|$. Note that $\|z\|_2 \le M \cdot n^{1/2} + n^{1/2} \le 2Mn^{1/2}$ for every $z \in D_S$.

A simple calculation shows that the function $\varsigma(t) := (n/t)^{t/2}$ is increasing for $0 < t \le ne^{-1}$. The volume of the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}[Y]$ under $\|\cdot\|_2$ is $\pi^{|Y|/2}/(|Y|/2)!$

[11, page 9]. By Stirling's formula there exists some constant $C' > 0$ such that $m! \geq C'\sqrt{m}(\frac{m}{e})^m$ for all $m \geq 1$. Thus the volume of D_S is no bigger than

$$\begin{aligned}
(\pi^{|Y|/2}(2Mn^{1/2})^{|Y|})/(|Y|/2)! &\leq (\pi^{|Y|/2}(2Mn^{1/2})^{|Y|})/(C'\sqrt{|Y|/2}(|Y|/(2e))^{|Y|/2}) \\
&\leq CC_1^{|Y|}(n/|Y|)^{|Y|/2}M^{|Y|} = CC_1^{|Y|}\varsigma(|Y|)M^{|Y|} \\
&\leq CC_1^{\delta n}\varsigma(\delta n)M^{|Y|} = CC_1^{\delta n}\delta^{-\delta n/2}M^{|Y|},
\end{aligned}$$

where $C = \sqrt{2}/C'$ and $C_1 = 2\sqrt{2e\pi}$. Take $\delta > 0$ so small that $C_1^{\delta}\delta^{-\delta/2} \leq \lambda$. Then the volume of D_S is no bigger than $C\lambda^n M^{|Y|}$. Consequently, $|S| \leq C\lambda^n M^{|Y|}$. $\square$

We need the following result of Deninger (note that the assumption in [13, Corollary 3.4] that Γ is finitely generated is not needed). In Corollary 7.2 we shall generalize the equality part to non-positive elements in the presence of perturbations. Recall the notations p_F and f_F in Notation 3.3.

Lemma 5.2. *[13, Theorem 3.2, Proposition 3.3, Corollary 3.4] Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ be invertible and positive in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$. Then f_F is invertible and $\|(f_F)^{-1}\| \leq \|f^{-1}\|$ for every nonempty finite subset F of Γ, and*

$$\det{}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f = \lim_{n\to\infty} |\det f_{F_n}|^{1/|F_n|} = \lim_{n\to\infty} |\mathbb{Z}[F_n]/f_{F_n}\mathbb{Z}[F_n]|^{1/|F_n|}$$

for any (left) Følner net $\{F_n\}_{n\in J}$ of Γ.

Notation 5.3. For $f \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma$, denote by K_f the union of the supports of f and f^*, and the identity of Γ.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ be invertible and positive in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$. Then for any $\lambda > 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is some $\delta > 0$ such that when a nonempty finite subset $F \subseteq \Gamma$ satisfies $|K_f^2 F \setminus F| \leq \delta|F|$ we have*

$$s_{F,2}(\varepsilon) \leq C\lambda^{|F|}|\mathbb{Z}[F]/f_F\mathbb{Z}[F]|,$$

where C is the universal constant in Lemma 5.1.

Proof. Write K for K_f. Take $1 > \delta > 0$ such that $(\|f^{-1}\| \cdot \|f\| \cdot 2^{1/2})^{\delta} \leq \lambda^{1/2}$ and $\delta^{1/2}\|f^{-1}\| \cdot \|f\|_1 \leq \varepsilon$, and that δ satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 5.1 for $\lambda' = \lambda^{1/2}$. Let F satisfy the hypothesis.

Take an $[F, 2, \varepsilon]$-separated subset $E \subseteq X_f$ with $|E| = s_{F,2}(\varepsilon)$. For each $x \in E$ denote by $\tilde{x}$ the element in $[0, 1)^{\Gamma}$ such that x is the image of $\tilde{x}$ under the natural map $[0, 1)^{\Gamma} \to (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^{\Gamma}$. Then $f\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{Z}[[\Gamma]]$ and hence $p_F(f\tilde{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}[F]$. Denote by φ_F the quotient map $\mathbb{Z}[F] \to \mathbb{Z}[F]/f_F\mathbb{Z}[F]$. We get a map $\psi : E \to \mathbb{Z}[F]/f_F\mathbb{Z}[F]$ sending x to $\varphi_F(p_F(f\tilde{x}))$. It suffices to show that for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}[F]/f_F\mathbb{Z}[F]$, the preimage of a under ψ has at most $C\lambda^{|F|}$ elements. Fix $a \in \mathbb{Z}[F]/f_F\mathbb{Z}[F]$ and $y \in \psi^{-1}(a)$.

For each $x \in E$, set $x' = p_{KF}(\tilde{x})$. We shall identify $\mathbb{C}[KF]$ naturally as a subspace of $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ via the embedding ι_{KF} in Notation 3.3. Note that $\psi(x) = \varphi_F(p_F(fx'))$. Suppose that $x \in \psi^{-1}(a)$. Then $p_F(f(x' - y'))$ lies in $f_F\mathbb{Z}[F]$, and hence

$$p_F(f(x' - y')) = f_F(h_x) \tag{7}$$

for some $h_x \in \mathbb{Z}[F]$. Set $z_x = f(x' - y') - fh_x$. Then

$$p_F(z_x) = p_F(f(x' - y') - fh_x) = p_F(f(x' - y')) - f_F(fh_x) = 0.$$

Thus z_x is in $\mathbb{R}\Gamma$ and vanishes on F, and

$$f(x' - y') = fh_x + z_x. \tag{8}$$

By Lemma 5.2 the linear operator f_F is invertible and $\|(f_F)^{-1}\| \leq \|f^{-1}\|$. From (7) we get

$$h_x = (f_F)^{-1}(p_F(f(x' - y'))).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\|h_x\|_2 &\leq \|(f_F)^{-1}\| \cdot \|f\| \cdot \|x' - y'\|_2 \leq \|f^{-1}\| \cdot \|f\| \cdot \|x' - y'\|_\infty \cdot |KF|^{1/2} \\ &\leq \|f^{-1}\| \cdot \|f\| \cdot |KF|^{1/2} \leq \|f^{-1}\| \cdot \|f\| \cdot 2^{1/2} \cdot |F|^{1/2},\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\|p_{FK \setminus F}(fh_x)\|_2 \leq \|f\| \cdot \|h_x\|_2 \leq \|f^{-1}\| \cdot \|f\|^2 \cdot 2^{1/2} \cdot |F|^{1/2}.$$

By Lemma 5.1 one has

$$\begin{aligned}|\{p_{KF\setminus F}(fh_x) : x \in \psi^{-1}(a)\}| &\leq C\lambda^{|F|/2}(\|f^{-1}\| \cdot \|f\|^2 \cdot 2^{1/2})^{|KF\setminus F|} \\ &\leq C\lambda^{|F|/2}(\|f^{-1}\| \cdot \|f\|^2 \cdot 2^{1/2})^{\delta|F|} \\ &\leq C\lambda^{|F|}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we can find a subset $W \subseteq \psi^{-1}(a)$ with $C\lambda^{|F|}|W| \geq |\psi^{-1}(a)|$ such that $p_{KF\setminus F}(fh_{x_1}) = p_{KF\setminus F}(fh_{x_2})$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in W$. Let $x_1, x_2 \in W$. Applying (8) to $x = x_1$ and $x = x_2$ respectively, we get

$$f(x_1' - x_2') = f(x_1' - y') - f(x_2' - y') = f(h_{x_1} - h_{x_2}) + (z_{x_1} - z_{x_2}).$$

Since $f(h_{x_1} - h_{x_2})$ has support contained in F, while $z_{x_1} - z_{x_2}$ has support contained in $K^2F \setminus F$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\|z_{x_1} - z_{x_2}\|_2 &= \|p_{K^2F\setminus F}(f(x_1' - x_2'))\|_2 \leq \|f(x_1' - x_2')\|_\infty \cdot |K^2F \setminus F|^{1/2} \\ &\leq \|f\|_1 \cdot \|x_1' - x_2'\|_\infty \cdot |K^2F \setminus F|^{1/2} \leq \delta^{1/2}\|f\|_1 \cdot |F|^{1/2},\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\|p_F(f^{-1}(z_{x_1} - z_{x_2}))\|_2 &\leq \|f^{-1}(z_{x_1} - z_{x_2})\|_2 \leq \|f^{-1}\| \cdot \|z_{x_1} - z_{x_2}\|_2 \\ &\leq \delta^{1/2}\|f^{-1}\| \cdot \|f\|_1 \cdot |F|^{1/2} \leq \varepsilon|F|^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

If $x_1 \neq x_2$, then

$$\|p_F(f^{-1}(z_{x_1} - z_{x_2}))\|_2 = \|p_F((x_1' - x_2') - (h_{x_1} - h_{x_2}))\|_2 \geq d_{F,2}(x_1, x_2)|F|^{1/2} > \varepsilon|F|^{1/2},$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore W contains at most one point. Thus

$$|\psi^{-1}(a)| \leq C\lambda^{|F|}|W| \leq C\lambda^{|F|},$$

as desired. $\square$

For an abelian group G, denote by $G_{\rm tor}$ the subgroup of torsion elements. If $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ and f_F is invertible for some nonempty finite subset F of Γ, then $f_F\mathbb{Z}[F]$ has rank $|F|$, and hence $\mathbb{Z}[F]/f_F\mathbb{Z}[F]$ is a finite group. In the case, we shall apply the following result.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ be invertible in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$. Then for any $\lambda > 1$, there is some $\delta > 0$ such that for any nonempty finite subset $F \subseteq \Gamma$ satisfying $|K_f F \setminus F| \leq \delta|F|$ we have*

$$C\lambda^{|F|} s_{F,\infty}(\frac{1}{2\|f\|_1}) \geq |(\mathbb{Z}[F]/f_F\mathbb{Z}[F])_{\rm tor}|,$$

where C is the universal constant in Lemma 5.1.

Proof. Write K for K_f. Set $D = 4\|f\|_1$ and $\varepsilon = 2D^{-1}$. Take $\delta > 0$ such that $(D \cdot \|f\| \cdot \|f^{-1}\|)^\delta \leq \lambda^{1/2}$, and that δ satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 5.1 for $\lambda' = \lambda^{1/2}$. Let F satisfy the hypothesis.

Denote $\mathbb{Z}[F]/f_F\mathbb{Z}[F]$ by G. Let $x \in G_{\rm tor}$. Take $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{Z}[F]$ such that the image of $\tilde{x}$ in G under the quotient map $\mathbb{Z}[F] \to G$ is equal to x. Then

$$k\tilde{x} = f_F w$$

for some positive integer k and some $w \in \mathbb{Z}[F]$. Write $\frac{1}{k}w$ as $w_1 + w_2$ for some $w_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[F]$ and $w_2 \in [0,1)^F$. Then $\tilde{x} = f_F w_1 + f_F w_2$ and $\|f_F w_2\|_2 \leq \|f\| \cdot \|w_2\|_2 \leq \|f\| \cdot |F|^{1/2}$. Note that $\tilde{x}$ and $f_F w_2$ have the same image in G. Thus we may replace $\tilde{x}$ by $f_F w_2$ and hence assume that $\|\tilde{x}\|_2 \leq \|f\| \cdot |F|^{1/2}$.

Denote by φ the quotient map $\mathbb{R}[[\Gamma]] \to (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})[[\Gamma]]$. We identify $\mathbb{C}[F]$ with a subspace of $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ naturally. For any $x \in G_{\rm tor}$, we have

$$f\varphi(f^{-1}\tilde{x}) = \varphi(f(f^{-1}\tilde{x})) = \varphi(\tilde{x}) = 0$$

in $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})[[\Gamma]]$, and hence $\varphi(f^{-1}\tilde{x}) \in X_f$ by (5). This defines a map $\psi : G_{\rm tor} \to X_f$ sending x to $\varphi(f^{-1}\tilde{x})$.

For each $x \in G_{\rm tor}$, pick $w_x \in \frac{1}{D}\mathbb{Z}[KF \setminus F]$ such that

$$\|w_x - p_{KF\setminus F}(f^{-1}\tilde{x})\|_\infty \leq 1/D = \varepsilon/2$$

and $|w_x(t)| \leq |(f^{-1}\tilde{x})(t)|$ for all $t \in KF \setminus F$. Then $Dw_x \in \mathbb{Z}[KF \setminus F]$ and

$$\|Dw_x\|_2 \leq D\|p_{KF\setminus F}(f^{-1}\tilde{x})\|_2 \leq D \cdot \|f^{-1}\| \cdot \|\tilde{x}\|_2 \leq D \cdot \|f\| \cdot \|f^{-1}\| \cdot |F|^{1/2}.$$

By Lemma 5.1 one has

$$\begin{aligned} |\{Dw_x : x \in G_{\rm tor}\}| &\leq C\lambda^{|F|/2}(D \cdot \|f\| \cdot \|f^{-1}\|)^{|KF\setminus F|} \\ &\leq C\lambda^{|F|/2}(D \cdot \|f\| \cdot \|f^{-1}\|)^{\delta|F|} \\ &\leq C\lambda^{|F|}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can find a subset $W \subseteq G_{\rm tor}$ with $C\lambda^{|F|}|W| \geq |G_{\rm tor}|$ such that $w_x = w_y$ for all $x, y \in W$.

Now it suffices to show that ψ injects W into an $[F, \infty, \varepsilon]$-separated subset of X_f. Suppose that $x \neq y$ in W and $d_{F,\infty}(\psi(x), \psi(y)) \leq \varepsilon$. From the definition of $d_{F,\infty}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{F,\infty}(\psi(x), \psi(y)) &= \max_{\gamma \in F} \vartheta((\alpha_f)_\gamma(\psi(x)), (\alpha_f)_\gamma(\psi(y))) \\ &= \max_{\gamma \in F} \vartheta((\psi(x))_\gamma, (\psi(y))_\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

For each $\gamma \in F$, one gets

$$\min_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |(f^{-1}\tilde{x})_\gamma - (f^{-1}\tilde{y})_\gamma - m| = \vartheta((\psi(x))_\gamma, (\psi(y))_\gamma) \leq \varepsilon,$$

and thus there exists $h_\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $|(f^{-1}\tilde{x})_\gamma - (f^{-1}\tilde{y})_\gamma - h_\gamma| \leq \varepsilon$. Define $h \in \mathbb{Z}[F]$ to be the element with value h_γ for every $\gamma \in F$. Set

$$z = f^{-1}\tilde{x} - f^{-1}\tilde{y} - h \in \mathbb{R}[[\Gamma]].$$

Then $\|z|_F\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$. Since x and y are in W, we have $w_x = w_y$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|z|_{KF\setminus F}\|_\infty &= \|p_{KF\setminus F}(f^{-1}\tilde{x}) - p_{KF\setminus F}(f^{-1}\tilde{y})\|_\infty \\ &\leq \|p_{KF\setminus F}(f^{-1}\tilde{x}) - w_x\|_\infty + \|p_{KF\setminus F}(f^{-1}\tilde{y}) - w_y\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Write z as $z_1 + z_2$ such that the supports of z_1 and z_2 are contained in KF and $\Gamma \setminus KF$ respectively. Note that $p_F(fz) = p_F(fz_1)$ and $\|z_1\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$. Consequently,

$$\|p_F(fz)\|_\infty = \|p_F(fz_1)\|_\infty \leq \|fz_1\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_1 \cdot \|z_1\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon\|f\|_1 = 1/2.$$

We have

$$\tilde{x} - \tilde{y} = p_F(\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}) = p_F(fh) + p_F(fz) = f_F h + p_F(fz).$$

Since $\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}$ and $f_F h$ are both in $\mathbb{Z}[F]$, we must have $p_F(fz) = 0$. Therefore $\tilde{x} - \tilde{y} = f_F h \in f_F\mathbb{Z}[F]$, contradicting the assumption $x \neq y$. This finishes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Theorem 5.6. *Let Γ be an infinite amenable group and let $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ be positive and invertible in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$. Let $\{F_n\}_{n \in J}$ be a (left) Følner net of Γ. Then for any $1/(2\|f\|_1) \geq \varepsilon > 0$, one has*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log s_{F_n,\infty}(\varepsilon) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log |\mathbb{Z}[F_n]/f_{F_n}\mathbb{Z}[F_n]| \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log |\det f_{F_n}| = \log \mathrm{det}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 5.4, one has

$$\mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log |\mathbb{Z}[F_n]/f_{F_n}\mathbb{Z}[F_n]|.$$

By Lemma 5.2, each f_{F_n} is invertible and hence $(\mathbb{Z}[F_n]/f_{F_n}\mathbb{Z}[F_n])_{\rm tor} = \mathbb{Z}[F_n]/f_{F_n}\mathbb{Z}[F_n]$. Thus by Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 5.5, one has

$$\mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) \geq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|}\log s_{F_n,\infty}(\varepsilon) \geq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|}\log |\mathbb{Z}[F_n]/f_{F_n}\mathbb{Z}[F_n]|,$$

and

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|}\log s_{F_n,\infty}(\varepsilon) \geq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|}\log |\mathbb{Z}[F_n]/f_{F_n}\mathbb{Z}[F_n]|.$$

Then the first two equalities of the theorem follow. The last two equalities of the theorem come from Lemma 5.2. □

6. Addition Formulas

In this section we establish addition formulas for the entropy of group extensions, in both topological and measure-theoretical settings (Theorems 6.1 and 6.2). From these formulas we deduce the Yuzvinskiĭ addition formula (Corollary 6.3) and use it to obtain a formula for the entropy of products fg (Corollaries 6.5 and 6.6). Throughout this section Γ is a countable amenable group.

Let α_X, α_Y and α_G be actions of Γ on compact metrizable spaces X, Y and G by homeomorphisms respectively. A *factor map* $X \to Y$ is a continuous surjective Γ-equivariant map. We say that α_X is a *(right) G-extension of* α_Y if there are a factor map $\pi : X \to Y$ and a continuous map $P : X \times G \to X$ sending (x, g) to xg such that $\pi^{-1}(\pi(x)) = xG$, $xg = xg'$ only when $g = g'$, and $\gamma(xg) = \gamma(x)\gamma(g)$ for all $x \in X$, $g, g' \in G$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. (Usually G is a compact metrizable group, $(xg)g' = x(gg')$, and Γ acts on G by automorphisms; but this is not necessary.) The case $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ of the following theorem was proved by R. Bowen [8, Theorem 19].

Theorem 6.1 (Topological Addition Formula)**.** *Let α_X, α_Y and α_G be actions of Γ on compact metrizable spaces X, Y and G by homeomorphisms respectively. If α_X is a G-extension of α_Y, then* $\mathrm{h}_{\rm top}(\alpha_X) = \mathrm{h}_{\rm top}(\alpha_Y) + \mathrm{h}_{\rm top}(\alpha_G)$.

Let α_Y be an action of Γ on a standard probability space $(Y, \mathcal{B}_Y, \mu)$ by automorphisms. Also let α_G be an action of Γ on a compact metrizable group G as (continuous) automorphisms. Endow G with its Borel σ-algebra $\mathcal{B}_G$ and normalized Haar measure ν. Note that every automorphism of G preserves ν. A *cocycle* for α_Y and α_G is a measurable map $\sigma : \Gamma \times Y \to G$ such that

$$\sigma(\gamma_1\gamma_2, y) = \sigma(\gamma_1, \gamma_2 y) \cdot \gamma_1(\sigma(\gamma_2, y)) \tag{9}$$

for all $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ and $y \in Y$. Given a cocycle σ, one can define a *skew product* action $\alpha_Y \times_\sigma \alpha_G$ of Γ on the standard probability space $(Y \times G, \mathcal{B}_Y \times \mathcal{B}_G, \mu \times \nu)$ by automorphisms, by

$$\gamma(y, g) = (\gamma y, \sigma(\gamma, y) \cdot (\gamma g)) \tag{10}$$

for $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $y \in Y$ and $g \in G$. It is clear that the projection $Y \times G \to Y$ is a *factor map* for the actions $\alpha_Y \times_\sigma \alpha_G$ and α_Y in the sense that it is Γ-equivariant,

measurable and measure-preserving. The action $\alpha_Y \times_\sigma \alpha_G$ is called a *group extension* of the action α_Y. The case $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ of the following theorem was proved by Thomas [76], and the case $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^d$ for $2 \leq d < \infty$ was proved by Lind et al. [42, Theorem B.1].

Theorem 6.2 (Measure-theoretical Addition Formula). *Let α_Y and α_G be actions of Γ on a standard probability space $(Y, \mathcal{B}_Y, \mu)$ and a compact metrizable group G by automorphisms respectively. Let σ be a cocycle for α_Y and α_G. Then*

$$\mathrm{h}_{\mu\times\nu}(\alpha_Y \times_\sigma \alpha_G) = \mathrm{h}_\mu(\alpha_Y) + \mathrm{h}(\alpha_G).$$

As a direct consequence of Theorem 6.1 we obtain the following Yuzvinskiĭ addition formula, for which the case $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ was proved by Yuzvinskiĭ [81] and the case $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^d$ for $2 \leq d < \infty$ was proved by Lind et al. [42, Corollary B.2] (see also [71, Theorem 14.1]). The case $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^\infty$ and G is abelian was proved by Miles [53, Proposition 5.1]. The case Γ is locally normal and G is abelian and zero-dimensional was proved by Miles and Björklund [54, Theorem 3.1].

Corollary 6.3 (Yuzvinskiĭ Addition Formula). *Let α_{G_1}, α_{G_2} and α_{G_3} be actions of Γ on compact metrizable groups G_1, G_2, G_3 as (continuous) automorphisms respectively. Suppose that there is a Γ-equivariant short exact sequence of compact groups*

$$1 \longrightarrow G_1 \longrightarrow G_2 \longrightarrow G_3 \longrightarrow 1.$$

Then $\mathrm{h}(\alpha_{G_2}) = \mathrm{h}(\alpha_{G_1}) + \mathrm{h}(\alpha_{G_3})$.

One can also obtain Corollary 6.3 from Theorem 6.2 via a standard procedure, as follows.

Proof of Corollary 6.3 using Theorem 6.2. We may identify G_1 with its image in G_2. Denote by π the map $G_2 \to G_3$. Every continuous open surjective map between compact metrizable spaces has a Borel cross section [1, Theorem 3.4.1]. Thus we can find a Borel map $\psi : G_3 \to G_2$ such that $\pi \circ \psi$ is the identity map on G_3. It is easily verified that the map $\phi : G_3 \times G_1 \to G_2$ sending (g_3, g_1) to $\psi(g_3)g_1$ is an isomorphism from the measurable space $(G_3 \times G_1, \mathcal{B}_{G_3} \times \mathcal{B}_{G_1})$ onto the measurable space $(G_2, \mathcal{B}_{G_2})$. Furthermore, denoting the normalized Haar measure on G_j by ν_j, one sees that $\phi(\nu_3 \times \nu_1)$ is left-translation invariant and hence $\phi(\nu_3 \times \nu_1) = \nu_2$. It is also readily checked that the map $\sigma : \Gamma \times G_3 \to G_1$ defined by $\sigma(\gamma, g_3) = (\psi(\gamma g_3))^{-1} \cdot \gamma(\psi(g_3))$ is a cocycle for the actions α_{G_3} and α_{G_1}, and that ϕ intertwines the actions $\alpha_{G_3} \times_\sigma \alpha_{G_1}$ and α_{G_2}. Thus $\mathrm{h}(\alpha_{G_2}) = \mathrm{h}_{\nu_3\times\nu_1}(\alpha_{G_3} \times_\sigma \alpha_{G_1})$. Theorem 6.2 implies that $\mathrm{h}_{\nu_3\times\nu_1}(\alpha_{G_3} \times_\sigma \alpha_{G_1}) = \mathrm{h}_{\nu_3}(\alpha_{G_3}) + \mathrm{h}(\alpha_{G_1})$. Therefore, $\mathrm{h}(\alpha_{G_2}) = \mathrm{h}(\alpha_{G_3}) + \mathrm{h}(\alpha_{G_1})$ as desired. □

Now we use Corollary 6.3 to obtain a formula for the entropy of fg. Recall that an element b of a ring R is called a *right zero divisor* if $ab = 0$ for some non-zero element a of R. The following result was pointed out by Deninger [13, page 757]. For the convenience of the reader, we give a proof here.

Lemma 6.4. *Let $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$. Then one has a Γ-equivariant short sequence of compact groups*

$$1 \longrightarrow X_g \longrightarrow X_{fg} \longrightarrow X_f \to 1,$$

where the homomorphism $X_{fg} \to X_f$ is given by left multiplication by g. It is exact at X_g and X_{fg}. If furthermore g is not a right zero divisor of $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$, then the above sequence is exact.

Proof. The dual sequence of the above one is the following

$$0 \longleftarrow \mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathbb{Z}\Gamma g \longleftarrow \mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathbb{Z}\Gamma fg \longleftarrow \mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathbb{Z}\Gamma f \longleftarrow 0, \tag{11}$$

where the homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathbb{Z}\Gamma fg \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathbb{Z}\Gamma f$ is given by right multiplication by g. By the Pontryagin duality it suffices to show that (11) is exact at the corresponding places. Clearly it is exact at $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathbb{Z}\Gamma g$ and $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathbb{Z}\Gamma fg$. Now assume that g is not a right zero divisor of $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$. Suppose that $x \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathbb{Z}\Gamma f$ and $xg = 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathbb{Z}\Gamma fg$. Say, x is represented by $\tilde{x}$ in $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$. Then $\tilde{x}g = \tilde{z}fg$ in $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ for some $\tilde{z} \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$. Since g is not a right zero divisor in $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$, we have $\tilde{x} = \tilde{z}f$ in $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$. Consequently, $x = 0$ and hence (11) is also exact at $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathbb{Z}\Gamma f$. □

If α is an action of Γ on a compact Hausdorff space X by homeomorphisms, and Y is a closed invariant subspace of X, then α restricts to an action β of Γ on Y, and from the definition of topological entropy one can see easily that $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha) \geq \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\beta)$. Combining this fact with Corollary 6.3 and Lemma 6.4 we obtain the following product formula.

Corollary 6.5. *Let $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$. Then $\mathrm{h}(\alpha_{fg}) \leq \mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) + \mathrm{h}(\alpha_g)$. If furthermore g is not a right zero divisor in $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$, then $\mathrm{h}(\alpha_{fg}) = \mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) + \mathrm{h}(\alpha_g)$.*

The *zero divisor conjecture* states that for any torsion-free group H, the group ring $\mathbb{Z}H$ has no nontrivial right zero divisors. See [48, page 376–379] and [56, page 62–63] for relation between the zero divisor conjecture and other conjectures such as the (strong) Atiyah conjecture and the embedding conjecture. Recall that the class of *elementary amenable groups* is the smallest class of groups containing all cyclic and all finite groups and being closed under taking group extensions and direct unions. Because of Linnell's work on the strong Atiyah conjecture [45] (see also [18, 69]), we know that the zero divisor conjecture holds for all torsion-free groups in the smallest class of groups containing all free groups and being closed under extensions with elementary amenable quotients and under direct unions. In particular, the zero divisor conjecture holds for all torsion-free elementary amenable groups. See also [62, Chapter 13] for work on the zero divisor problem of KH for a field K and a group H.

If $f = 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$, then α_f is the full shift action of Γ on $\mathbb{T}^\Gamma$ and hence $\mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) = \infty$. Thus we have

Corollary 6.6. *Suppose that Γ is torsion-free and satisfies the zero divisor conjecture. Then for any $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$, one has $\mathrm{h}(\alpha_{fg}) = \mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) + \mathrm{h}(\alpha_g)$.*

R. Bowen's proof of Theorems 6.1 in the case $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ is purely topological, while the proofs of Thomas and Lind et al. for Theorem 6.2 in the case $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^d$ is purely using ergodic theory and depends on a technique of Yuzvinskiĭ reducing G to simpler compact groups. Our proof for these addition formulas, in each setting, employ both topological and measure-theoretical tools. There are two main tools used in our proof. One is Ward and Zhang's addition formula [79, Theorem 4.4] (see also [12, Theorem 0.2]), a generalization of the Abramov-Rohlin addition formula. Another is the various kinds of fibre entropy for topological extensions. In particular, our proof of Theorem 6.2, even in the case $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^d$, is completely different from that of Thomas and Lind et al.

The rest of this section is devoted to the proofs of Theorems 6.1 and 6.2. Fix a (left) Følner sequence $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of Γ.

A systematic study of various fibre and conditional entropies was carried out in [19] for dynamical systems of continuous maps on compact Hausdorff spaces. It will be interesting to see to what extent the results in [19] generalize to actions of discrete amenable groups. Here we confine ourselves to extend a few definitions and results in [19] to Γ-actions, needed for the proofs of Theorems 6.1 and 6.2.

Let α_X be an action of Γ on a compact metrizable space X by homeomorphisms. Denote by $M_\Gamma(X)$ the set of all Γ-invariant Borel probability measures on X. For any finite open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of X and any subset $Z \subseteq X$, denote by $N(\mathcal{U}|Z)$ the minimal number of elements in $\mathcal{U}$ needed to cover Z. Set $\mathcal{U}^F := \bigvee_{\gamma\in F}\gamma^{-1}\mathcal{U}$ for a nonempty finite subset F of Γ, and

$$\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X, \mathcal{U}|Z) := \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|}\log N(\mathcal{U}^{F_n}|Z).$$

Let α_Y be an action of Γ on another compact metrizable space Y by homeomorphisms. Consider a factor map $\pi : X \to Y$. Given a finite open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of X, note that the function $y \mapsto N(\mathcal{U}|\pi^{-1}(y))$ for $y \in Y$ is upper semicontinuous and hence is a Borel function. Let $\nu \in M_\Gamma(Y)$. Set

$$\mathrm{H}(\mathcal{U}|\nu) := \int_Y \log N(\mathcal{U}|\pi^{-1}(y))\, d\nu(y).$$

It is easy to verify that the function $F \mapsto \mathrm{H}(\mathcal{U}^F|\nu)$ defined on the set of nonempty finite subsets of Γ satisfies the hypothesis in Proposition 2.1 and hence $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{|F_n|}\mathrm{H}(\mathcal{U}^{F_n}|\nu)$ exists and does not depend on the choice of the Følner sequence $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.

Definition 6.7. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a finite open cover of X. For $y \in Y$, we define *the topological fibre entropy of* $\mathcal{U}$ *given* y as $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X, \mathcal{U}|\pi^{-1}(y))$ and denote it by $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X, \mathcal{U}|y)$. For any $\nu \in M_\Gamma(Y)$, we define the *topological fibre entropy of* $\mathcal{U}$ *given* ν as $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{|F_n|}\mathrm{H}(\mathcal{U}^{F_n}|\nu)$ and denote it by $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha, \mathcal{U}|\nu)$. We define the *topological fibre entropy of* α_X *given* y, and *given* ν, respectively, as $\sup_{\mathcal{U}}\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X, \mathcal{U}|y)$ and $\sup_{\mathcal{U}}\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X, \mathcal{U}|\nu)$ respectively for the supremum being taken over all finite open covers of X, and denote them by $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X|y)$ and $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X|\nu)$ respectively.

The following result is the analogue of part of [19, Theorem 3].

Lemma 6.8. *Let α_X and α_Y be actions of Γ on compact metrizable spaces X and Y respectively. Let $\pi : X \to Y$ be a factor map. Then we have*

$$\sup_{y\in Y} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X|y) \geq \sup_{\nu\in M_\Gamma(Y)} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X|\nu).$$

Proof. It suffices to prove $\sup_{y\in Y} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X, \mathcal{U}|y) \geq \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X, \mathcal{U}|\nu)$ for every finite open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of X and every $\nu \in M_\Gamma(Y)$. Since the function $y \mapsto N(\mathcal{U}^F|\pi^{-1}(y))$ is a Borel function on Y for any nonempty finite subset F of Γ, the function $y \mapsto \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X, \mathcal{U}|y)$ is also Borel. Note that

$$\frac{1}{|F|} \log N(\mathcal{U}^F|\pi^{-1}(y)) \leq \frac{1}{|F|} \log N(\mathcal{U}^F) \leq \log N(\mathcal{U}) \tag{12}$$

for any nonempty finite subset F of Γ and $y \in Y$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{y\in Y} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X, \mathcal{U}|y) &\geq \int_Y \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X, \mathcal{U}|y)\, d\nu(y) \\
&= \int_Y \lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{m\geq n} \frac{1}{|F_m|} \log N(\mathcal{U}^{F_m}|\pi^{-1}(y))\, d\nu(y) \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_Y \sup_{m\geq n} \frac{1}{|F_m|} \log N(\mathcal{U}^{F_m}|\pi^{-1}(y))\, d\nu(y) \\
&\geq \lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{m\geq n} \frac{1}{|F_m|} \int_Y \log N(\mathcal{U}^{F_m}|\pi^{-1}(y))\, d\nu(y) \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{m\geq n} \frac{1}{|F_m|} \mathrm{H}(\mathcal{U}^{F_m}|\nu) \\
&= \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X, \mathcal{U}|\nu),
\end{aligned}$$

where the third lines comes from Lebesgue's monotone convergence theorem [66, Theorem 1.26] and the uniform upper bound in (12). $\square$

The factor map $\pi : X \to Y$ induces a surjective continuous affine map from the space $M(X)$ of Borel probability measures on X to $M(Y)$. For any $\nu \in M_\Gamma(Y)$, take $\mu' \in M(X)$ with $\pi(\mu') = \nu$ and let μ be a limit point of the sequence $\{\frac{1}{|F_n|}\sum_{\gamma\in F_n} \gamma\mu'\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in the compact space $M(X)$. Then μ is in $M_\Gamma(X)$ and $\pi(\mu) = \nu$. Thus

$$\pi(M_\Gamma(X)) = M_\Gamma(Y). \tag{13}$$

Note that $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_Y)$ is a Γ-invariant sub-σ-algebra of $\mathcal{B}_X$. We shall identify $\mathcal{B}_Y$ with $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_Y)$, and write $\mathrm{H}_\mu(\cdot|\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_Y))$ and $\mathrm{h}_\mu(\cdot|\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_Y))$ simply as $\mathrm{H}_\mu(\cdot|\mathcal{B}_Y)$ and $\mathrm{h}_\mu(\cdot|\mathcal{B}_Y)$ respectively.

The following result is the analogue of part of [19, Theorem 4].

Lemma 6.9. *Let the assumptions be as in Lemma 6.8. For any $\nu \in M_\Gamma(Y)$, we have*

$$\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X|\nu) \geq \sup_{\mu \in M_\Gamma(X), \pi\mu=\nu} \mathrm{h}_\mu(\alpha_X|\mathcal{B}_Y).$$

Proof. We combine the ideas in the proofs of [19, Theorem 4] and [57, Theorem 5.2.8]. Let $\mu \in M_\Gamma(X)$ with $\pi(\mu) = \nu$. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_k\}$ be a finite Borel partition of X and let $\varepsilon > 0$. It suffices to show that there exists a finite open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of X such that $\mathrm{h}_\mu(\alpha_X, \mathcal{P}|\mathcal{B}_Y) \leq \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X, \mathcal{U}|\nu) + \varepsilon$.

We may assume that $\min_{1\leq i\leq k} \mu(P_i) > 0$. Let δ be a small positive constant which we shall determine later. Since μ is regular [32, Theorem 17.11], we may find an open set $U_i \supseteq P_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$ such that $\mu(U_i \setminus P_i) < \delta$. Then $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$ is an open cover of X.

Let F be a nonempty finite subset of Γ. Define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on Y as $y \sim y'$ whenever $\pi^{-1}(y)$ and $\pi^{-1}(y')$ are covered by exactly the same subfamilies of $\mathcal{U}^F$. Denote by β the finite partition of Y into the equivalence classes. It is readily verified that each item of β is the intersection of a closed set and an open set, and hence is Borel. For each $D \in \beta$ we can find some $\mathcal{V}_D \subseteq \mathcal{U}^F$ such that $\mathcal{V}_D$ covers $\pi^{-1}(D)$ and $|\mathcal{V}_D| = N(\mathcal{U}^F|\pi^{-1}(y))$ for every $y \in D$. It is easy to construct a Borel partition $\mathcal{Q}_D = \{Q_{D,R} : R \in \mathcal{V}_D\}$ of $\pi^{-1}(D)$ with $Q_{D,R} \subseteq R$ for each $R \in \mathcal{V}_D$. Set $Q_R := \bigcup_{D\in\beta} Q_{D,R}$ for $R \in \bigcup_{D\in\beta} \mathcal{V}_D$. Then $\mathcal{Q} := \{Q_R : R \in \bigcup_{D\in\beta} \mathcal{V}_D\}$ is a Borel partition of X. For any finite Borel partition $\mathcal{P}'$ of X, denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{P}'}$ the σ-algebra generated by the items of $\mathcal{P}'$. Note that for any m-item Borel partition $\mathcal{P}'$ of X, one has $\mathrm{H}_\mu(\mathcal{P}') \leq \log m$ [77, page 80]. Thus

$$\mathrm{H}_\mu(\mathcal{Q}|\hat{\beta}) \leq \sum_{D\in\beta} \nu(D) \log |\mathcal{V}_D| = \int_Y \log N(\mathcal{U}^F|\pi^{-1}(y))\, d\nu(y) = \mathrm{H}(\mathcal{U}^F|\nu). \tag{14}$$

We say that a finite partition $\mathcal{P}'$ of X is *adapted* to a finite open cover $\mathcal{U}'$ of X if there is an injective (not necessarily surjective) map ψ from $\mathcal{P}'$ to $\mathcal{U}'$ such that each $P \in \mathcal{P}'$ is contained in $\psi(P)$. Denote by $R_\mu(\mathcal{U}')$ the supremum of $\mathrm{H}_\mu(\mathcal{P}'|\widehat{\mathcal{Q}'})$ for all Borel partitions $\mathcal{P}'$ and $\mathcal{Q}'$ of X adapted to $\mathcal{U}'$. By [57, Prop. 5.2.11] one has $R_\mu(\mathcal{U}' \vee \mathcal{V}') \leq R_\mu(\mathcal{U}') + R_\mu(\mathcal{V}')$ for all finite open covers $\mathcal{U}'$ and $\mathcal{V}'$ of X. Note that both $\mathcal{P}^F$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ are adapted to $\mathcal{U}^F$ and hence

$$\mathrm{H}_\mu(\mathcal{P}^F|\hat{\mathcal{Q}}) \leq R_\mu(\mathcal{U}^F) \leq |F| R_\mu(\mathcal{U}). \tag{15}$$

For two sub-σ-algebras $\mathcal{B}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_2$ of $\mathcal{B}_X$, denote by $\mathcal{B}_1 \vee \mathcal{B}_2$ the sub-σ-algebra of $\mathcal{B}_X$ generated by $\mathcal{B}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}_\mu(\mathcal{P}^F|\mathcal{B}_Y) &\leq \mathrm{H}_\mu(\mathcal{P}^F \vee \mathcal{Q}|\mathcal{B}_Y) \\ &= \mathrm{H}_\mu(\mathcal{Q}|\mathcal{B}_Y) + \mathrm{H}_\mu(\mathcal{P}^F|\hat{\mathcal{Q}} \vee \mathcal{B}_Y) \\ &\leq \mathrm{H}_\mu(\mathcal{Q}|\hat{\beta}) + \mathrm{H}_\mu(\mathcal{P}^F|\hat{\mathcal{Q}}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\overset{(14),(15)}{\leq} \quad \mathrm{H}(\mathcal{U}^F|\nu) + |F|R_\mu(\mathcal{U}).$$

Divide both sides of the above inequality by $|F|$, replace F by F_n and take limits. We obtain $\mathrm{h}_\mu(\alpha_X, \mathcal{P}|\mathcal{B}_Y) \leq \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X, \mathcal{U}|\nu) + R_\mu(\mathcal{U})$. It remains to show that $R_\mu(\mathcal{U}) \leq \varepsilon$ when δ is small enough.

We may assume that $\delta < \frac{1}{k}\min_{1\leq i\leq k}\mu(P_i)$. Then the sum of the μ-measures of the elements in any proper subset of $\mathcal{U}$ is strictly less than 1. It follows that every Borel partition of X adapted to $\mathcal{U}$ has exactly k items. Let $\mathcal{P}' = \{P_1', \dots, P_k'\}$ and $\mathcal{Q}' = \{Q_1', \dots, Q_k'\}$ be Borel partitions of X adapted to $\mathcal{U}$ with $P_i', Q_i' \subseteq U_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$. By [57, Lemma 4.3.9] one has $\mathrm{H}_\mu(\mathcal{P}'|\widehat{\mathcal{Q}'}) \leq 2k^2\xi(2d(\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{Q}')/k^2)$, where $d(\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{Q}') := \frac{1}{2}\sum_{1\leq i\leq k}\mu(P_i' \triangle Q_i')$ and $\xi(t) := \max_{0\leq s\leq t}(-s\log s)$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1\leq i\leq k}\mu(P_i' \setminus Q_i') &\leq \sum_{1\leq i\leq k}\mu(U_i \setminus Q_i') = \sum_{1\leq i\leq k}(\mu(U_i) - \mu(Q_i')) \\
&= \sum_{1\leq i\leq k}\mu(U_i) - 1 = \sum_{1\leq i\leq k}(\mu(U_i) - \mu(P_i)) \\
&= \sum_{1\leq i\leq k}\mu(U_i \setminus P_i) < k\delta.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\sum_{1\leq i\leq k}\mu(Q_i' \setminus P_i') < k\delta$. It follows that $d(\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{Q}') < k\delta$. Thus $R_\mu(\mathcal{U}) \leq 2k^2\xi(2\delta/k)$. Therefore it suffices to require further $\xi(2\delta/k) \leq \varepsilon/(2k^2)$. □

The case $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ of the next theorem was proved by R. Bowen [8, Theorem 17]. Our proof for the general case takes the approach in [19].

Theorem 6.10. *Let the assumptions be as in Lemma 6.8. We have*

$$\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X) \leq \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_Y) + \sup_{y\in Y}\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X|y).$$

Proof. By Theorem 0.2 of [12], when Γ is infinite, we have

$$\mathrm{h}_\mu(\alpha_X) = \mathrm{h}_{\pi\mu}(\alpha_Y) + \mathrm{h}_\mu(\alpha_X|\mathcal{B}_Y) \tag{16}$$

for every $\mu \in M_\Gamma(X)$. If Γ is finite and α_Z is an action of Γ on a standard probability space $(Z, \mathcal{B}_Z, \mu_Z)$ by automorphisms and $\mathcal{D}$ is a Γ-invariant sub-σ-algebra of $\mathcal{B}_Z$, then clearly $\mathrm{h}_{\mu_Z}(\alpha_Z|\mathcal{D}) = \frac{H(\mu_Z|\mathcal{D})}{|\Gamma|}$, where $H(\mu_Z|\mathcal{D})$ denotes the supremum of $H_{\mu_Z}(\mathcal{P}|\mathcal{D})$ for $\mathcal{P}$ running over all finite measurable partitions of Z. If μ_Z is purely atomic in the sense that $\sum_{z\in Z}\mu_Z(\{z\}) = 1$, then $H(\mu_Z|\{\emptyset, Z\}) = \sum_{z\in Z} -\mu_Z(\{z\})\log\mu_Z(\{z\})$. If μ_Z is not purely atomic, then there is some $Z' \in \mathcal{B}_Z$ with $\mu_Z(Z') > 0$ such that Z' equipped with the restriction of $\mathcal{B}_Z$ and μ_Z is isomorphic to the interval $[0, \mu_Z(Z')]$ equipped with the Borel structure of its canonical topology and the Lebesgue measure [32, Theorem 17.41], and hence $H(\mu_Z|\{\emptyset, Z\}) = \infty$. It follows easily that the formula (16) holds also when Γ is finite.

By the variational principle [57, page 76] we have $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X) = \sup_{\mu \in M_\Gamma(X)} \mathrm{h}_\mu(\alpha_X)$ and $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_Y) = \sup_{\nu \in M_\Gamma(Y)} \mathrm{h}_\nu(\alpha_Y)$. Thus Theorem 6.10 follows from Lemmas 6.8 and 6.9, and (13). $\square$

Fix a compatible matric d on X. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any nonempty finite subset $F \subseteq \Gamma$, we say that a set $E \subseteq X$ is *(F, ε)-separated* if for any $x \neq y$ in E there is some $\gamma \in F$ with $d(\gamma x, \gamma y) > \varepsilon$ and we say that a set $E' \subseteq X$ *(F, ε)-spans* another subset $Z \subseteq X$ if for any $x \in Z$ there is some $y \in E'$ with $d(\gamma x, \gamma y) \leq \varepsilon$ for all $\gamma \in F$. For any $Z \subseteq X$, denote by $r_F(\varepsilon, Z)$ the smallest cardinality of any set E which (F, ε)-spans Z and denote by $s_F(\varepsilon, Z)$ the largest cardinality of any (F, ε)-separated set E contained in Z.

It is routine to prove the following lemma (cf. [8, Lemma 1] [13, Prop 2.1]).

Lemma 6.11. *Let α_X be an action of Γ on a compact metrizable space X by homeomorphisms. For any $Z \subseteq X$, we have*

$$\sup_{\mathcal{U}} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X, \mathcal{U}|Z) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log r_{F_n}(\varepsilon, Z) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log s_{F_n}(\varepsilon, Z),$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite open covers of X.

We are ready to prove Theorem 6.1.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. If Γ is finite and α_Z is an action of Γ on a compact Hausdorff space Z by homeomorphisms, then clearly $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_Z) = \frac{\log |Z|}{|\Gamma|}$ when Z is finite while $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_Z) = \infty$ when Z is infinite. It follows that Theorem 6.1 holds when Γ is finite. Thus we may assume that Γ is infinite. We follow the proof of [8, Theorem 19], but using Theorem 6.10 and Lemma 6.11.

Fix compatible metrics d_X, d_Y and d_G for X, Y and G respectively. To show $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X) \leq \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_Y) + \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_G)$, by Theorem 6.10 it suffices to show $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X|y) \leq \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_G)$ for every $y \in Y$. Take $z \in \pi^{-1}(y)$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, take $\delta > 0$ such that $d_X(xg_1, xg_2) \leq \varepsilon$ for any $x \in X$ and $g_1, g_2 \in G$ with $d_G(g_1, g_2) \leq \delta$. Let F be a nonempty finite subset of Γ. If a subset E of G (F, δ)-spans G, then zE (F, ε)-spans $zG = \pi^{-1}(y)$. Thus $r_F(\varepsilon, \pi^{-1}(y)) \leq r_F(\delta, G)$. By Lemma 6.11 we get $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X|y) \leq \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_G)$ as desired.

Next we show $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X) \geq \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_Y) + \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_G)$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, since X and G are compact and $xg = xg'$ only when $g = g'$, we can find $\delta > 0$ such that $d_X(x_1, x_2) > \delta$ for any $x_1, x_2 \in X$ with $d_Y(\pi(x_1), \pi(x_2)) > \varepsilon$, and that $d_X(xg_1, xg_2) > \delta$ for any $x \in X$ and $g_1, g_2 \in G$ with $d_G(g_1, g_2) > \varepsilon$. Let F be a nonempty finite subset of Γ. Let E_Y and E_G be subsets of Y and G being (F, δ)-separated respectively. Take $E_X \subseteq X$ such that the restriction of π on E_X maps E_X bijectively to E_Y. We claim that $|E_X E_G| = |E_X| \cdot |E_G|$ and that $E_X E_G$ is (F, δ)-separated. If x_1, x_2 are distinct points in E_X and $g_1, g_2 \in E_G$, then $\pi(x_1), \pi(x_2) \in E_Y$ are distinct, thus for some $\gamma \in F$ one has $d_Y(\pi(\gamma(x_1 g_1)), \pi(\gamma(x_2 g_2))) = d_Y(\gamma\pi(x_1), \gamma\pi(x_2)) > \varepsilon$ and hence $d_X(\gamma(x_1 g_1), \gamma(x_2 g_2)) > \delta$. If g_1, g_2 are distinct points in E_G and $x \in E_X$,

then for some $\gamma \in F$ one has $d_G(\gamma(g_1), \gamma(g_2)) > \varepsilon$ and hence $d_X(\gamma(xg_1), \gamma(xg_2)) = d_X(\gamma(x)\gamma(g_1), \gamma(x)\gamma(g_2)) > \delta$. This proves the claim. Thus $s_F(\delta, X) \geq s_F(\varepsilon, Y)s_F(\varepsilon, G)$. By Lemma 6.11 we get $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X) \geq \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_Y) + \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_G)$ as desired. □

Let X be a G-extension of Y. In the second paragraph of the proof of Theorem 6.1, we have proved that $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X|y) \leq \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_G)$ for every $y \in Y$. The argument in the third paragraph of the proof also shows that $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X|y) \geq \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_G)$ for every $y \in Y$. For later use, we record this as

Lemma 6.12. *Let the assumptions be as in Theorem 6.1. Then* $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_X|y) = \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_G)$ *for every* $y \in Y$.

Next we consider group extensions constructed out of continuous cocycles.

Lemma 6.13. *Let α_Y and α_G be actions of Γ on a compact metrizable space Y and a compact metrizable group G by homeomorphisms and (continuous) automorphisms respectively. Let $\sigma : \Gamma \times Y \to G$ be a continuous cocycle, i.e. a continuous map satisfying (9). Consider the action $\alpha_Y \times_\sigma \alpha_G$ of Γ on the compact metrizable space $Y \times G$ by homeomorphisms, defined by (10). For any $\mu \in M_\Gamma(Y)$, denoting by ν the normalized Haar measure of G, we have*

$$\mathrm{h}_{\mu\times\nu}(\alpha_Y \times_\sigma \alpha_G|\mathcal{B}_Y) = \mathrm{h}(\alpha_G).$$

Proof. Note that $\alpha_Y \times_\sigma \alpha_G$ is a G-extension of α_Y and $\pi(\mu\times\nu) = \mu$, where π denotes the projection $Y \times G \to Y$. From Lemmas 6.8, 6.9 and 6.12 we have

$$\mathrm{h}_{\mu\times\nu}(\alpha_Y \times_\sigma \alpha_G|\mathcal{B}_Y) \leq \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_Y \times_\sigma \alpha_G|\mu) \leq \sup_{y\in Y} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha_Y \times_\sigma \alpha_G|y) = \mathrm{h}(\alpha_G).$$

Thus it suffices to show $\mathrm{h}_{\mu\times\nu}(\alpha_Y \times_\sigma \alpha_G|\mathcal{B}_Y) \geq \mathrm{h}(\alpha_G)$.

Take compatible metrics d_Y and d_G on Y and G respectively. Replacing $d_G(\cdot,\cdot)$ by $\int_G d_G(g\cdot, g\cdot)\, d\nu(g)$ if necessary, we may assume that d_G is left-translation invariant. We endow $Y\times G$ with the metric $d_{Y\times G}((y_1, g_1), (y_2, g_2)) = \max(d_Y(y_1, y_2), d_G(g_1, g_2))$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and F be a nonempty finite subset of Γ. Let E be an (F, ε)-separated subset of G with $|E| = s_F(\varepsilon, G)$. Set $V = \{g \in G : \max_{\gamma\in F} d_G(\gamma g, e_G) \leq \varepsilon/2\}$, where e_G denotes the identity element of G. Then V is a closed subset of G, and the sets gV for $g \in E$ are pairwise disjoint. Thus $1 \geq \nu(\bigcup_{g\in E} gV) = \sum_{g\in E} \nu(gV) = |E|\nu(V)$. Therefore $\nu(V) \leq |E|^{-1}$.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a finite Borel partition of $Y \times G$ with each item having diameter no bigger than $\varepsilon/2$, under $d_{Y\times G}$. Let P be an item of $\mathcal{P}^F$, and let $(y, g_1), (y, g_2) \in P$. Then for each $\gamma \in F$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon/2 &\geq d_{Y\times G}(\gamma(y, g_1), \gamma(y, g_2)) \\
&= d_{Y\times G}((\gamma y, \sigma(\gamma, y)(\gamma g_1)), (\gamma y, \sigma(\gamma, y)(\gamma g_2))) \\
&= d_G(\sigma(\gamma, y)(\gamma g_1), \sigma(\gamma, y)(\gamma g_2)) \\
&= d_G(\gamma g_1, \gamma g_2)) = d_G(\gamma(g_1^{-1} g_2), e_G),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last two equalities come from the left-translation invariance of d_G. Thus $g_1^{-1}g_2 \in V$ and hence $g_2 \in g_1V$. It follows that

$$\mathbb{E}(1_P|\mathcal{B}_Y)(x) = \int_G 1_P(\pi(x), g')\, d\nu(g') \leq \nu(V) \leq |E|^{-1}$$

for $\mu\times\nu$ a.e. $x \in Y\times G$, where 1_P denotes the characteristic function of P. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}_{\mu\times\nu}(\mathcal{P}^F|\mathcal{B}_Y) &= \sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}^F}\int_{Y\times G} -1_P(x)\log\mathbb{E}(1_P|\mathcal{B}_Y)(x)\, d(\mu\times\nu)(x) \\ &\geq \sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}^F}\int_{Y\times G} -1_P(x)\log|E|^{-1}\, d(\mu\times\nu)(x) = \log|E| = \log s_F(\varepsilon, G). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\mathrm{h}_{\mu\times\nu}(\alpha_X\times_\sigma\alpha_G, \mathcal{P}|\mathcal{B}_Y) \geq \limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{|F_n|}\log s_{F_n}(\varepsilon, G)$. By Lemma 6.11 we get $\mathrm{h}_{\mu\times\nu}(\alpha_Y\times_\sigma\alpha_G|\mathcal{B}_Y) \geq \mathrm{h}(\alpha_G)$ as desired. $\square$

Now we show that every measure-theoretical group extension has a topological model.

Lemma 6.14. *Let the assumptions be as in Theorem 6.2. Then there exists a compact metrizable space Y' containing Y such that Y is a dense Borel subset of Y', $\mathcal{B}_Y$ is the restriction of $\mathcal{B}_{Y'}$ on Y, the action of Γ on Y extends to an action of Γ on Y' by homeomorphisms, the measure μ extends to a Γ-invariant Borel probability measure on Y', and σ extends to a continuous cocycle $\Gamma\times Y'\to G$.*

Proof. Denote by $\mathscr{B}(Y)$ the set of bounded $\mathbb{C}$-valued Borel functions on Y. It is complete under the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|$, and is a unital algebra under the pointwise addition and multiplication. Furthermore, it is a $*$-algebra with the $*$-operation defined by $f^*(y) = \overline{f(y)}$ for $f \in \mathscr{B}(Y)$ and $y \in Y$. It is clear that $\|f^*f\| = \|f\|^2$ for every $f \in \mathscr{B}(Y)$. Thus $\mathscr{B}(Y)$ is a unital commutative C^*-algebra (see Section 2.2). Note that the action of Γ on Y induces an action of Γ on $\mathscr{B}(Y)$ as isometric $*$-algebra automorphisms naturally.

Since $\mathcal{B}_Y$ is the Borel σ-algebra for some Polish topology on Y, we can find a countable subset W of $\mathcal{B}_Y$ separating the points of Y. That is, for any distinct y_1, y_2 in Y, we can find $A \in W$ such that $1_A(y_1) \neq 1_A(y_2)$, where 1_A denotes the characteristic function of A. Set $V_1 = \{1_A \in \mathscr{B}(Y) : A \in W\}$.

Note that the algebra $C(G)$ of continuous $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions on G is also a normed space under the supremum norm. Since G is compact metrizable, $C(G)$ is separable. Write σ as $\sigma_\gamma : Y \to G$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$. That is, $\sigma_\gamma(y) = \sigma(\gamma, y)$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $y \in Y$. Then $f\circ\sigma_\gamma$ is in $\mathscr{B}(Y)$ for every $f \in C(G)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Set $V_2 = \{f\circ\sigma_\gamma \in \mathscr{B}(Y) : f \in C(G), \gamma \in \Gamma\}$. Since $C(G)$ is separable and Γ is countable, V_2 is a separable subset of $\mathscr{B}(Y)$.

Denote by $\mathscr{A}$ the closed Γ-invariant sub-$*$-algebra of $\mathscr{B}(Y)$ generated by $V_1\cup V_2$. Then $\mathscr{A}$ is separable and contains the constant functions. Denote by Y' the *Gelfand spectrum* of $\mathscr{A}$, i.e., the set of all unital algebra homomorphisms $\mathscr{A}\to\mathbb{C}$ [10, page

219]. Note that Y' is contained in the unit ball of the Banach space dual $\mathscr{A}'$ of $\mathscr{A}$ [10, Proposition VII.8.4]. Endowed with the relative weak*-topology, Y' is a compact Hausdorff space [10, Proposition VII.8.6]. Since $\mathscr{A}$ is separable, Y' is metrizable. Clearly the action of Γ on $\mathscr{A}$ induces an action of Γ on Y' by homeomorphisms.

For each $y \in Y$, the evaluation at y gives rise to an element $\psi(y)$ of Y'. Since W separates the points of Y, the map $\psi : Y \to Y'$ is injective. Consider the *Gelfand transform* $\varphi : \mathscr{A} \to C(Y')$ defined by $\varphi(a)(y') = y'(a)$ for $a \in \mathscr{A}$ and $y' \in Y'$ [10, page 220]. Note that $\mathscr{A}$ is a unital commutative C^*-algebra. Thus φ is an isometric $*$-isomorphism of $\mathscr{A}$ onto $C(Y')$ [10, Theorem VIII.2.1]. Also note that $\varphi(f) \circ \psi = f$ for every $f \in \mathscr{A}$. It follows that ψ is measurable and Γ-equivariant. Recall that a measurable space $(X, \mathcal{B}_X)$ is called a *standard Borel space* if $\mathcal{B}_X$ is the Borel σ-algebra for some Polish topology on X. The Lusin-Souslin theorem says that for any injective measurable map ζ from one standard Borel space $(X, \mathcal{B}_X)$ to another standard Borel space $(Z, \mathcal{B}_Z)$, the image $\zeta(X)$ is measurable and ζ is an isomorphism from $(X, \mathcal{B}_X)$ to $(\zeta(X), \mathcal{B}_Z|_{\zeta(X)})$ [32, page 89]. Thus, identifying Y with $\psi(Y)$, we have $Y \in \mathcal{B}_{Y'}$ and $\mathcal{B}_Y$ is the restriction of $\mathcal{B}_{Y'}$ on Y. Then μ can be though of as a Borel probability measure on Y' via setting $\mu(Y' \setminus Y) = 0$. Clearly μ is still Γ-invariant. Note that Y separates $\varphi(\mathscr{A}) = C(Y')$. By the Urysohn lemma [33, page 115], for any disjoint nonempty closed subsets Z_1 and Z_2 of Y', there exists $f \in C(Y')$ with $f|_{Z_1} = 1$ and $f|_{Z_2} = 0$. It follows that Y is dense in Y'.

Each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and each $y' \in Y'$ give rise to a unital algebra homomorphism $C(G) \to \mathbb{C}$ sending f to $y'(f \circ \sigma_\gamma)$. Note that every unital algebra homomorphism $C(G) \to \mathbb{C}$ is given by the evaluation at a unique point of G [32, Theorem VII.8.7]. Thus there is a unique point in G, denoted by $\sigma'_\gamma(y')$, such that $f(\sigma'_\gamma(y')) = y'(f \circ \sigma_\gamma)$ for every $f \in C(G)$. Clearly the map $\sigma'_\gamma : Y' \to G$ is continuous and extends σ_γ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Write $\sigma'(\gamma, y')$ for $\sigma'_\gamma(y')$. Since Y is dense in Y', by continuity σ' also satisfies the cocycle condition (9). $\square$

We are ready to prove Theorem 6.2.

Proof of Theorem 6.2. By Lemmas 6.14 and 6.13 we have $\mathrm{h}_{\mu\times\nu}(\alpha_Y \times_\sigma \alpha_G|\mathcal{B}_Y) = \mathrm{h}(G)$. Thus the desired formula follows from Ward and Zhang's addition formula $\mathrm{h}_{\mu\times\nu}(\alpha_Y \times_\sigma \alpha_G) = \mathrm{h}_\mu(\alpha_Y) + \mathrm{h}_{\mu\times\nu}(\alpha_Y \times_\sigma \alpha_G|\mathcal{B}_Y)$ [79, Theorem 4.4] [12, Theorem 0.2]. $\square$

7. Approximation of Fuglede-Kadison Determinant

Throughout this section Γ will be a discrete amenable group. As we pointed out at the end of Section 3, one of the main difficulties to establish the intuitive equalities (6) is that f_{F_n} may fail to be invertible even when f is invertible in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$. Our method of dealing with this difficulty is to "perturb" f_{F_n} to make it invertible. Here the meaning of $S_n \in B(\mathbb{C}[F_n])$ being a perturbation of f_{F_n} is that $\mathrm{rank}(S_n - f_{F_n})$ is small compared to $|F_n|$. Our task in the section is to calculate $\det_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f$ in terms of

the determinants of S_n. Though Corollary 7.2 gives a precise formula for such a calculation, for some technical reason which will be explained in Remark 8.2, we have to get an approximate formula as follows:

Theorem 7.1. *Let $f \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma$ be invertible in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$. For any $C_1 > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $\{F_n\}_{n\in J}$ is a (left) Følner net of Γ and $S_n \in B(\mathbb{C}[F_n])$ is invertible for each $n \in J$ such that $\sup_{n\in J}\max(\|S_n\|, \|S_n^{-1}\|) \leq C_1$ and $\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{\mathrm{rank}(S_n - f_{F_n})}{|F_n|} \leq \delta$, then*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\Big|\log \det{}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f - \frac{1}{|F_n|}\log|\det S_n|\Big| < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Let $\delta > 0$ be a small number whose value will be determined later. Let $\{F_n\}_{n\in J}$ and $\{S_n\}_{n\in J}$ satisfy the hypothesis.

Note that $\sup_{n\in J}\max(\|S_n^*S_n\|, \|(S_n^*S_n)^{-1}\|) \leq C_1^2$. Thus there is a closed finite interval I in $\mathbb{R}$ depending only on C_1 such that I does not contain 0 and the spectra of f^*f and $S_n^*S_n$ are contained in I for each $n \in J$.

Let $n \in J$. From

$$S_n^*S_n - (f_{F_n})^*f_{F_n} = (S_n - f_{F_n})^*S_n + (f_{F_n})^*(S_n - f_{F_n})$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{rank}(S_n^*S_n - (f_{F_n})^*f_{F_n}) &\leq \mathrm{rank}((S_n - f_{F_n})^*S_n) + \mathrm{rank}((f_{F_n})^*(S_n - f_{F_n}))\\ &\leq \mathrm{rank}((S_n - f_{F_n})^*) + \mathrm{rank}(S_n - f_{F_n})\\ &= 2\mathrm{rank}(S_n - f_{F_n}).\end{aligned}$$

Recall the operators p_{F_n} and ι_{F_n} in Notation 3.3 and the set K_f in Notation 5.3. When restricted on $\ell^2(\Gamma)$, one has $p_{F_n} = (\iota_{F_n})^*$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}(f_{F_n})^*f_{F_n} - (f^*f)_{F_n} &= (f_{F_n})^*f_{F_n} - p_{F_n}f^*f\iota_{F_n}\\ &= (f_{F_n})^*f_{F_n} - (f\iota_{F_n})^*f\iota_{F_n}\\ &= (f_{F_n} - f\iota_{F_n})^*f_{F_n} + (f\iota_{F_n})^*(f_{F_n} - f\iota_{F_n}),\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{rank}((f_{F_n})^*f_{F_n} - (f^*f)_{F_n}) &\leq \mathrm{rank}((f_{F_n} - f\iota_{F_n})^*f_{F_n}) + \mathrm{rank}((f\iota_{F_n})^*(f_{F_n} - f\iota_{F_n}))\\ &\leq \mathrm{rank}((f_{F_n} - f\iota_{F_n})^*) + \mathrm{rank}(f_{F_n} - f\iota_{F_n})\\ &= 2\mathrm{rank}(f_{F_n} - f\iota_{F_n})\\ &\leq 2|K_fF_n \setminus F_n|.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{rank}(S_n^*S_n - (f^*f)_{F_n}) &\leq \mathrm{rank}(S_n^*S_n - (f_{F_n})^*f_{F_n}) + \mathrm{rank}((f_{F_n})^*f_{F_n} - (f^*f)_{F_n})\\ &\leq 2\mathrm{rank}(S_n - f_{F_n}) + 2|K_fF_n \setminus F_n|.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{\mathrm{rank}(S_n^*S_n-(f^*f)_{F_n})}{|F_n|}\le\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{2\mathrm{rank}(S_n-f_{F_n})}{|F_n|}\le 2\delta.$$

Denote by tr the trace of $B(\mathbb{C}[F_n])$ taking value 1 on minimal projections. By the Weierstrass approximation theorem [66, page 312] we can find a real polynomial Q such that $|Q(x)-\log x|\le\varepsilon/2$ for all $x\in I$. Then

$$\frac{1}{|F_n|}|\mathrm{tr}(Q(S))-\mathrm{tr}(\log S)|\le\|Q(S)-\log S\|\le\varepsilon/2 \tag{17}$$

for all self-adjoint $S\in B(\mathbb{C}[F_n])$ with spectrum contained in I, and

$$\|\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(Q(T))-\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(\log T)\|\le\|Q(T)-\log T\|\le\varepsilon/2 \tag{18}$$

for all self-adjoint $T\in\mathcal{L}\Gamma$ with spectrum contained in I.

For noncommutative variables X and Y, we have $Q(X+Y)=Q(X)+\sum_{j=1}^k Q_j(X,Y)$ for some two-variable noncommutative monomials Q_j with Y appearing in Q_j. Fix $1\le j\le k$. Then $\sup_{n\in J}\|Q_j((f^*f)_{F_n},S_n^*S_n-(f^*f)_{F_n})\|\le D_j$ for some constant D_j depending only on Q_j, $\|f\|$ and C_1. Furthermore,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{\mathrm{rank}(Q_j((f^*f)_{F_n},S_n^*S_n-(f^*f)_{F_n}))}{|F_n|}\le\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{\mathrm{rank}(S_n^*S_n-(f^*f)_{F_n})}{|F_n|}\le 2\delta.$$

For any $S\in B(\mathbb{C}[F_n])$, extending an orthonormal basis $e_1,\dots,e_{\mathrm{rank}(S)}$ of the range of S to an orthonormal basis $e_1,\dots,e_{|F_n|}$ of $\mathbb{C}[F_n]$, one sees that $e_{\mathrm{rank}(S)+1},\dots,e_{|F_n|}$ are orthogonal to the range of S, and hence

$$|\mathrm{tr}(S)|=|\sum_{j=1}^{|F_n|}\langle Se_j,e_j\rangle|=|\sum_{j=1}^{\mathrm{rank}(S)}\langle Se_j,e_j\rangle|\le\sum_{j=1}^{\mathrm{rank}(S)}|\langle Se_j,e_j\rangle|\le\mathrm{rank}(S)\cdot\|S\|.$$

It follows that $\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{|\mathrm{tr}(Q_j((f^*f)_{F_n},S_n^*S_n-(f^*f)_{F_n}))|}{|F_n|}\le 2\delta D_j$, and hence

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{|F_n|}|\mathrm{tr}(Q(S_n^*S_n))-\mathrm{tr}(Q((f^*f)_{F_n}))|\le 2\delta D,$$

where $D=\sum_{j=1}^k D_j$. By a result of Lück and Schick [47] [70, Lemma 4.6] [48, Lemma 13.42] [13, page 745], for any $T\in\mathcal{L}\Gamma$ one has

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(Q(T))=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{|F_n|}\mathrm{tr}(Q(T_{F_n})).$$

Thus

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left|\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(Q(f^*f))-\frac{1}{|F_n|}\mathrm{tr}(Q(S_n^*S_n))\right|\le 2\delta D. \tag{19}$$

Combining (17), (18) and (19) together, we get

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left|\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(\log(f^*f))-\frac{1}{|F_n|}\mathrm{tr}(\log(S_n^*S_n))\right|\le\varepsilon+2\delta D.$$

That is,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \big| \log \det{}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(f^*f) - \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log\det(S_n^* S_n) \big| \le \varepsilon + 2\delta D.$$

As $\log\det_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(f^*f) = 2\log\det_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f$ and $\det(S_n^*S_n) = |\det S_n|^2$, we get

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \big| \log \det{}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f - \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log|\det S_n| \big| \le \varepsilon/2 + \delta D.$$

Now we just need to take $\delta < \varepsilon/(2D)$. $\square$

Corollary 7.2. *Let $f \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma$ be invertible in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$. Let $\{F_n\}_{n\in J}$ be a (left) Følner net of Γ and $S_n \in B(\mathbb{C}[F_n])$ be invertible for each $n \in J$ such that $\sup_{n\in J} \max(\|S_n\|, \|S_n^{-1}\|) < \infty$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{rank}(S_n - f_{F_n})/|F_n| = 0$. Then*

$$\log\det{}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log|\det S_n|.$$

8. Proof of $\mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) \ge \log\det_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f$

In this section we show $\mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) \ge \log\det_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f$ for any $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ invertible in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$ (Lemma 8.5). Throughout this section Γ is a discrete amenable group.

For $f \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma$, recall that K_f denotes the union of the supports of f and f^*, and the identity of Γ. For a finite subset F of Γ, we identify $\mathbb{C}[F]$ with a subspace of $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ naturally. In particular, if $F' \subseteq F$ are finite subsets of Γ, then $\mathbb{C}[F]$ is the direct sum of $\mathbb{C}[F']$ and $\mathbb{C}[F \setminus F']$.

Lemma 8.1. *Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ be invertible in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$. Then for any $\lambda > 1$ and $C_1 \ge 1$, there is some $\delta > 0$ such that, for any $M \ge 1$ and any nonempty finite subsets $F' \subseteq F$ of Γ satisfying $|K_f F \setminus F| \le \delta|F|$ and $|F \setminus F'| \le \delta|F|$, if T_F is a linear map $\mathbb{C}[F \setminus F'] \to \mathbb{C}[F]$ with $MT_F(\mathbb{Z}[F \setminus F']) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[F]$ and $\|T_F\| \le C_1$ so that the linear map $S_F : \mathbb{C}[F] \to \mathbb{C}[F]$ defined as f_F on $\mathbb{C}[F']$ and T_F on $\mathbb{C}[F \setminus F']$ is invertible in $B(\mathbb{C}[F])$, then*

$$C\lambda^{|F|} M^{|K_f F \setminus F|} r_{F,\infty}\Big(\frac{1}{8\|f\|_1}\Big) \ge |\det S_F|,$$

where C is the universal constant in Lemma 5.1.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 5.5. Write K for K_f. Set $D = 8\|f\|_1$ and $\varepsilon = D^{-1}$. Take $1 > \delta > 0$ such that $(2D(\|f\| + C_1)\|f^{-1}\|)^{2\delta} \le \lambda^{1/2}$, and $\delta^{1/2} \le \|f^{-1}\|$, and that $\delta' = 2\delta$ satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 5.1 for $\lambda' = \lambda^{1/2}$. Let F, F', and T_F satisfy the hypothesis.

Consider $S'_F \in B(\mathbb{C}[F])$ defined as f_F on $\mathbb{C}[F']$ and MT_F on $\mathbb{C}[F \setminus F']$. Then $\det S'_F = M^{|F\setminus F'|} \det S_F$ and $\|S'_F\| \le \|f\| + MC_1 \le (\|f\| + C_1)M$. Note that $S'_F(\mathbb{Z}[F]) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[F]$, and hence $\det S'_F = |\mathbb{Z}[F]/S'_F\mathbb{Z}[F]|$ by Lemma 3.1. Thus it suffices to show

$$C\lambda^{|F|} M^{|KF\setminus F'|} r_{F,\infty}(\varepsilon) \ge |\mathbb{Z}[F]/S'_F\mathbb{Z}[F]|.$$

Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}[F]/S'_F\mathbb{Z}[F]$. Take $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{Z}[F]$ such that the image of $\tilde{x}$ in $\mathbb{Z}[F]/S'_F\mathbb{Z}[F]$ under the quotient map $\mathbb{Z}[F] \to \mathbb{Z}[F]/S'_F\mathbb{Z}[F]$ is equal to x. Since S'_F is invertible, one has

$$\tilde{x} = S'_F w$$

for some $w \in \mathbb{R}[F]$. Write w as $w_1 + w_2$ for some $w_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[F]$ and $w_2 \in [0,1)^F$. Then $\tilde{x} = S'_F w_1 + S'_F w_2$ and

$$\|S'_F w_2\|_2 \leq \|S'_F\| \cdot \|w_2\|_2 \leq (\|f\| + C_1) M |F|^{1/2}.$$

Note that $\tilde{x}$ and $S'_F w_2$ have the same image in $\mathbb{Z}[F]/S'_F\mathbb{Z}[F]$. Thus we may replace $\tilde{x}$ by $S'_F w_2$ and hence assume that $\|\tilde{x}\|_2 \leq (\|f\| + C_1) M |F|^{1/2}$.

Denote by φ the quotient map $\mathbb{R}[[\Gamma]] \to (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})[[\Gamma]]$. For each $x \in \mathbb{Z}[F]/S'_F\mathbb{Z}[F]$, one has

$$f\varphi(f^{-1}\tilde{x}) = \varphi(f(f^{-1}\tilde{x})) = \varphi(\tilde{x}) = 0$$

in $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})[[\Gamma]]$, and hence $\varphi(f^{-1}\tilde{x}) \in X_f$ by (5). This defines a map $\psi : \mathbb{Z}[F]/S'_F\mathbb{Z}[F] \to X_f$ sending x to $\varphi(f^{-1}\tilde{x})$.

For each $x \in \mathbb{Z}[F]/S'_F\mathbb{Z}[F]$, pick $w_x \in \frac{1}{D}\mathbb{Z}[KF \setminus F]$ such that

$$\|w_x - p_{KF\setminus F}(f^{-1}\tilde{x})\|_\infty \leq 1/D = \varepsilon$$

and $|w_x(t)| \leq |(f^{-1}\tilde{x})(t)|$ for all $t \in KF \setminus F$. Then $Dw_x \in \mathbb{Z}[KF \setminus F]$ and

$$\|Dw_x\|_2 \leq D\|p_{KF\setminus F}(f^{-1}\tilde{x})\|_2 \leq D \cdot \|f^{-1}\| \cdot \|\tilde{x}\|_2 \leq D(\|f\| + C_1) M \|f^{-1}\| \cdot |F|^{1/2}.$$

Take an $[F, \infty, \varepsilon]$-spanning subset $E \subseteq X_f$ with $|E| = r_{F,\infty}(\varepsilon)$. For each $v \in E$ set $W_v = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}[F]/S'_F\mathbb{Z}[F] : d_{F,\infty}(\psi(x), v) \leq \varepsilon\}$. Then $\bigcup_{v \in E} W_v = \mathbb{Z}[F]/S'_F\mathbb{Z}[F]$. Now it suffices to show that

$$|W_v| \leq C\lambda^{|F|} M^{|KF\setminus F'|}$$

for each $v \in E$. Fix $v \in E$ and $y \in W_v$.

Let $x \in W_v$. Then

$$\max_{\gamma \in F} \vartheta((\psi(x))_\gamma, (\psi(y))_\gamma) = d_{F,\infty}(\psi(x), \psi(y)) \leq d_{F,\infty}(\psi(x), v) + d_{F,\infty}(\psi(y), v) \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

For each $\gamma \in F'$, take $(h_x)_\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $|(f^{-1}\tilde{x})_\gamma - (f^{-1}\tilde{y})_\gamma - (h_x)_\gamma| \leq 2\varepsilon$. Similarly, for each $\gamma \in F \setminus F'$, take $(\theta_x)_\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $|(f^{-1}\tilde{x})_\gamma - (f^{-1}\tilde{y})_\gamma - (\theta_x)_\gamma| \leq 2\varepsilon$. Define $h_x \in \mathbb{Z}[F']$ to be the element taking value $(h_x)_\gamma$ at each $\gamma \in F'$. Also define $\theta_x \in \mathbb{Z}[F \setminus F']$ to be the element taking value $(\theta_x)_\gamma$ at each $\gamma \in F \setminus F'$. Set

$$z_x = f^{-1}\tilde{x} - f^{-1}\tilde{y} - h_x - \theta_x - w_x + w_y \in \mathbb{R}[[\Gamma]]. \tag{20}$$

Then

$$\|z_x|_{F'}\|_\infty = \|(f^{-1}\tilde{x} - f^{-1}\tilde{y} - h_x)|_{F'}\|_\infty \leq 2\varepsilon,$$

and

$$\|z_x|_{F\setminus F'}\|_\infty = \|(f^{-1}\tilde{x} - f^{-1}\tilde{y} - \theta_x)|_{F\setminus F'}\|_\infty \leq 2\varepsilon,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|z_x|_{KF\setminus F}\|_\infty &= \|(f^{-1}\tilde{x} - f^{-1}\tilde{y} - w_x + w_y)|_{KF\setminus F}\|_\infty \\ &\le \|(f^{-1}\tilde{x} - w_x)|_{KF\setminus F}\|_\infty + \|(f^{-1}\tilde{y} - w_y)|_{KF\setminus F}\|_\infty \le 2\varepsilon,\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\|z_x|_{KF}\|_\infty \le 2\varepsilon.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\theta_x\|_2 &\le \|f^{-1}\tilde{x}\|_2 + \|f^{-1}\tilde{y}\|_2 + \|p_{F\setminus F'}(z_x)\|_2 \\ &\le 2(\|f\| + C_1)M\|f^{-1}\| \cdot |F|^{1/2} + (2\varepsilon)\delta^{1/2}|F|^{1/2} \\ &\le D(\|f\| + C_1)M\|f^{-1}\| \cdot |F|^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\theta_x + Dw_x \in \mathbb{Z}[KF\setminus F']$ with $\|\theta_x + Dw_x\|_2 \le 2D(\|f\| + C_1)M\|f^{-1}\| \cdot |F|^{1/2}$ and $|KF \setminus F'| \le 2\delta|F| = \delta'|F|$. By Lemma 5.1 one has

$$\begin{aligned}|\{\theta_x + Dw_x : x \in W_v\}| &\le C\lambda^{|F|/2}(2D(\|f\| + C_1)M\|f^{-1}\|)^{|KF\setminus F'|} \\ &\le C\lambda^{|F|/2}(2D(\|f\| + C_1)\|f^{-1}\|)^{2\delta|F|}M^{|KF\setminus F'|} \\ &\le C\lambda^{|F|}M^{|KF\setminus F'|}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we can find a subset $W'_v \subseteq W_v$ with $C\lambda^{|F|}M^{|KF\setminus F'|}|W'_v| \ge |W_v|$ such that $\theta_{x_1} + Dw_{x_1} = \theta_{x_2} + Dw_{x_2}$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in W'_v$. Since $\theta_x \in \mathbb{R}[F\setminus F']$ and $w_x \in \mathbb{R}[KF\setminus F]$ for all $x \in W'_v$, we have $\theta_{x_1} = \theta_{x_2}$ and $w_{x_1} = w_{x_2}$ for $x_1, x_2 \in W'_v$.

Now it suffices to show that $|W'_v| \le 1$. Suppose that $x_1 \ne x_2$ in W'_v. Applying (20) to $x = x_1$ and $x = x_2$ respectively, one gets

$$f^{-1}\widetilde{x_1} - f^{-1}\widetilde{x_2} = h_{x_1} - h_{x_2} + z_{x_1} - z_{x_2}.$$

Write $z_{x_1} - z_{x_2}$ as $z_1 + z_2$ such that the supports of z_1 and z_2 are contained in KF and $\Gamma \setminus KF$ respectively. Note that $p_F(f(z_{x_1} - z_{x_2})) = p_F(fz_1)$ and $\|z_1\|_\infty \le 4\varepsilon$. Consequently,

$$\|p_F(f(z_{x_1} - z_{x_2}))\|_\infty = \|p_F(fz_1)\|_\infty \le \|fz_1\|_\infty \le \|f\|_1 \cdot \|z_1\|_\infty \le 4\varepsilon\|f\|_1 = 1/2.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{x_1} - \widetilde{x_2} &= p_F(\widetilde{x_1} - \widetilde{x_2}) = p_F(f(h_{x_1} - h_{x_2})) + p_F(f(z_{x_1} - z_{x_2})) \\ &= S'_F(h_{x_1} - h_{x_2}) + p_F(f(z_{x_1} - z_{x_2})).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\widetilde{x_1} - \widetilde{x_2}$ and $S'_F(h_{x_1} - h_{x_2})$ are both in $\mathbb{Z}[F]$, we must have $p_F(f(z_{x_1} - z_{x_2})) = 0$. Therefore $\widetilde{x_1} - \widetilde{x_2} = S'_F(h_{x_1} - h_{x_2}) \in S'_F\mathbb{Z}[F]$, contradicting the assumption $x_1 \ne x_2$. This finishes the proof of the lemma. □

Remark 8.2. Note that in Lemma 8.1 the operator S_F may fail to preserve $\mathbb{Z}[F]$, while the norm $\|S'_F\|$ of the operator S'_F defined in the 2nd paragraph of the proof of Lemma 8.1 may be large when M gets large. Indeed, this is what happens when we construct S_n in the proof of Lemma 8.5 below. A modification of the proofs of Lemmas 5.4, 5.5 and 8.1 shows that if $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ is invertible in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$, and there are

a (left) Følner net $\{F_n\}_{n\in J}$ of Γ and an invertible $S_n \in B(\mathbb{C}[F_n])$ preserving $\mathbb{Z}[F_n]$ for each $n \in J$ such that $\sup_{n\in J} \max(\|S_n\|, \|S_n^{-1}\|) < \infty$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{rank}(S_n - f_{F_n})/|F_n| = 0$, then $\mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log|\det S_n|$. Combined with Corollary 7.2, this proves Theorem 1.1 for such case, without using Theorem 5.6 and Corollary 6.5. When Γ is also residually finite, Weiss showed that there are a net $\{\Gamma_n\}_{n\in J}$ of finite index normal subgroups of Γ and a (left) Følner net $\{F_n\}_{n\in J}$ of Γ such that the quotient map $\Gamma \to \Gamma/\Gamma_n$ maps F_n bijectively to Γ/Γ_n for each $n \in J$ [80, Section 2] (see also [17, Corollary 5.6]). Via taking S_n to be the image of f in $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma/\Gamma_n)$ and identifying $B(\ell^2(\Gamma/\Gamma_n))$ and $B(\mathbb{C}[F_n])$, it is easily checked that when Γ is residually finite, $\{F_n\}_{n\in J}$ and $\{S_n\}_{n\in J}$ satisfying the above conditions do exist. However, we have not been able to show the existence of such $\{F_n\}_{n\in J}$ and $\{S_n\}_{n\in J}$ in general. This is why we have to use Theorem 7.1, Lemma 8.5 and Ornstein and Weiss's theory of quasitiling.

For $\varepsilon > 0$, we say that a family of finite subsets $\{F_1, \dots, F_m\}$ of Γ are *ε-disjoint* if there are $F_j' \subseteq F_j$ for all $1 \le j \le m$ such that $F_1', \dots, F_m'$ are pairwise disjoint, and $|F_j'| \ge (1-\varepsilon)|F_j|$ for all $1 \le j \le m$. We need the following theorem of Ornstein and Weiss:

Theorem 8.3. *[60, page 24, Theorem 6] Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let K be a nonempty finite subset of Γ. Then there exist $\delta > 0$ and nonempty finite subsets $K', F_1, \dots, F_m$ of Γ, such that*

(1) *$|\{g \in F_j : Kg \subseteq F_j\}| \ge (1-\varepsilon)|F_j|$ for each $1 \le j \le m$;*
(2) *for any nonempty finite subset F of Γ satisfying $|K'F \setminus F| \le \delta|F|$, there are finite subsets $D_1, \dots, D_m$ of Γ such that $\bigcup_{1\le j\le m} F_jD_j \subseteq F$, the family $\{F_jc : 1 \le j \le m, c \in D_j\}$ of subsets of Γ is ε-disjoint, and $|\bigcup_{1\le j\le m} F_jD_j| \ge (1-\varepsilon)|F|$.*

Remark 8.4. In Theorem 8.3, choosing $F_{c,j} \subseteq F_j$ for every $1 \le j \le m$ and $c \in D_j$ such that $|F_{c,j}| \ge (1-\varepsilon)|F_j|$ for all $1 \le j \le m$ and $c \in D_j$, and that the family $\{F_{c,j}c : 1 \le j \le m, c \in D_j\}$ of subsets of Γ is pairwise disjoint, and noticing that $F_{c,j}$ is one element in the finite set $\{W \subseteq F_j : |W| \ge (1-\varepsilon)|F_j|\}$, we see that we can actually require the family $\{F_jc : 1 \le j \le m, c \in D_j\}$ to be pairwise disjoint.

Lemma 8.5. *Let Γ be an infinite amenable group and let $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ be invertible in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$. For any (left) Følner net $\{F_n\}_{n\in J}$ of Γ, one has*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log r_{F_n,\infty}\left(\frac{1}{8\|f\|_1}\right) \ge \log \det f.$$

Proof. Set $C_1 = \max(\|f\|, \|f^{-1}\|) + 2$. Let $\lambda > 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Take $\delta > 0$ working for both Theorem 7.1 and Lemma 8.1. Denote by K the union of the supports of f and f^*, and the identity of Γ.

By Theorem 8.3 and Remark 8.4, there exist nonempty finite subsets $W_1, \dots, W_m$ of Γ and $N \in J$, such that

(I) $|W'_j| \geq (1-\frac{\delta}{2})|W_j|$ for each $1 \leq j \leq m$, where $W'_j = \{g \in W_j : Kg \subseteq W_j\}$;

(II) for any $n \geq N$, there are finite subsets $D_{n,1}, \dots, D_{n,m}$ of Γ such that $\bigcup_{1\leq j\leq m} W_j D_{n,j} \subseteq F_n$, the family $\{W_j c : 1 \leq j \leq m, c \in D_{n,j}\}$ of subsets of Γ is pairwise disjoint, and $|\bigcup_{1\leq j\leq m} W_j D_{n,j}| \geq (1-\frac{\delta}{2})|F_n|$.

We may also assume that

(III) for any $n \geq N$, one has $|KF_n \setminus F_n| \leq \delta |F_n|$.

We shall construct T_n for each $n \geq N$ satisfying the hypothesis in Lemma 8.1 for some M not depending on n such that the associated S_n satisfies the hypothesis in Theorem 7.1. For this purpose, we shall construct T_n on $\mathbb{C}[W_j]$ first, then transfer them to $\mathbb{C}[F_n]$.

Fix $1 \leq j \leq m$. Since $KW'_j \subseteq W_j$, we have $f_{W_j} = f$ on $\mathbb{C}[W'_j]$. Write $(f\mathbb{C}[W'_j])^\perp$ for the orthogonal complement of $f\mathbb{C}[W'_j]$ in $\mathbb{C}[W_j]$. Note that the dimension of $(f\mathbb{C}[W'_j])^\perp$ is equal to $|W_j \setminus W'_j|$, and that $(f\mathbb{C}[W'_j])^\perp$ is the linear span of $(f\mathbb{C}[W'_j])^\perp \cap \mathbb{Q}[W_j]$. Identify W_j with the standard orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}[W_j]$. Take an orthonormal basis $\{e_g : g \in W_j \setminus W'_j\}$ of $(f\mathbb{C}[W'_j])^\perp$, consisting of elements in $\mathbb{R}[W_j]$. Taking $e'_g \in (f\mathbb{C}[W'_j])^\perp \cap \mathbb{Q}[W_j]$ close enough to e_g for all $g \in W_j \setminus W'_j$, we find that the linear map $\widetilde{T}_j : \mathbb{C}[W_j \setminus W'_j] \to (f\mathbb{C}[W'_j])^\perp$ sending g to e'_g is bijective and $\max(\|\widetilde{T}_j\|, \|\widetilde{T}_j^{-1}\|) \leq 2$. Then there exists $M_j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M_j \widetilde{T}_j(\mathbb{Z}[W_j \setminus W'_j]) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[W_j]$. Note that the linear map $\widetilde{S}_j : \mathbb{C}[W_j] \to \mathbb{C}[W_j]$ defined as f_{W_j} on $\mathbb{C}[W'_j]$ and $\widetilde{T}_j$ on $\mathbb{C}[W_j \setminus W'_j]$ is invertible, and $\|\widetilde{S}_j^{-1}\| \leq \|f^{-1}\| + 2$.

Set $M = \prod_{1\leq j\leq m} M_j$.

Now let $n \geq N$. Let $D_{n,1}, \dots, D_{n,m}$ be as in (II) above. Set $F'_n = \bigcup_{1\leq j\leq m} W'_j D_{n,j}$. Then $|F_n \setminus F'_n| \leq \delta |F_n|$. Next we define the desired linear map $T_n : \mathbb{C}[F_n \setminus F'_n] \to \mathbb{C}[F_n]$. On $\mathbb{C}[F_n \setminus (\bigcup_{1\leq j\leq m} W_j D_{n,j})]$, the map T_n is the identity map. On $\mathbb{C}[(W_j \setminus W'_j)c]$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$ and $c \in D_{n,j}$, the map T_n is the same as $\widetilde{T}_j$ on $\mathbb{C}[W_j \setminus W'_j]$, if we identify $\mathbb{C}[W_j \setminus W'_j]$ and $\mathbb{C}[W_j]$ with $\mathbb{C}[(W_j \setminus W'_j)c]$ and $\mathbb{C}[W_j c]$ respectively via the right multiplication by c. Then $MT_n(\mathbb{Z}[F_n \setminus F'_n]) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[F_n]$, and $\|T_n\| \leq 2$. Denote by S_n the linear map $\mathbb{C}[F_n] \to \mathbb{C}[F_n]$ which is equal to f_{F_n} on $\mathbb{C}[F'_n]$ and equal to T_n on $\mathbb{C}[F_n \setminus F'_n]$. Clearly $\|S_n\| \leq \|f\| + 2$. Note that the restriction of S_n on $\mathbb{C}[W_j c]$ for each $1 \leq j \leq m$ and $c \in D_{n,j}$, or on $\mathbb{C}[F_n \setminus (\bigcup_{1\leq j\leq m} W_j D_{n,j})]$ is an isomorphism, and the norm of the inverse of this restriction is bounded above by $\|f^{-1}\| + 2$. Thus S_n is invertible with $\|S_n^{-1}\| \leq \|f^{-1}\| + 2$. By Lemma 8.1 we have

$$C\lambda^{|F_n|} M^{|KF_n \setminus F_n|} r_{F_n,\infty}\left(\frac{1}{8\|f\|_1}\right) \geq |\det S_n|,$$

where C is the universal constant in Lemma 5.1. Therefore

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{|F_n|}\log r_{F_n,\infty}\left(\frac{1}{8\|f\|_1}\right) - \frac{1}{|F_n|}\log|\det S_n|\right) \geq -\log\lambda. \tag{21}$$

Since S_n and f_{F_n} coincide on $\mathbb{C}[F'_n]$, we have $\mathrm{rank}(S_n - f_{F_n}) \leq |F_n \setminus F'_n| \leq \delta |F_n|$. By Theorem 7.1 we get

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \big| \log \det_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f - \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log |\det S_n| \big| < \varepsilon. \tag{22}$$

Combining (21) and (22) we get

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \Big(\frac{1}{|F_n|} \log r_{F_n,\infty}\big(\frac{1}{8\|f\|_1}\big) - \log \det_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f\Big) \geq -\log \lambda - \varepsilon.$$

Since $\lambda > 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ are arbitrary, the lemma is proved. $\square$

9. Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Consequences

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Theorem 3.2 we may assume that Γ is infinite. Let $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a (left) Følner sequence of Γ. By Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 8.5 we have

$$\mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) \geq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log r_{F_n,\infty}\big(\frac{1}{8\|f\|_1}\big) \geq \log \det_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f.$$

Applying the inequality to f^*, we also have

$$\mathrm{h}(\alpha_{f^*}) \geq \log \det_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f^*.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{h}(\alpha_{f^*f}) &= \mathrm{h}(\alpha_{f^*}) + \mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) \geq \log \det_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f^* + \log \det_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f = 2 \log \det_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f \\ &= \log \det_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(f^* f) = \mathrm{h}(\alpha_{f^*f}), \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality comes from Corollary 6.5, the second one comes from Theorem 2.2, the third one comes from the definition of $\det_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f$, and the last one comes from Theorem 5.6. Thus $\mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) = \log \det_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f$. $\square$

Since $s_{F,\infty}(\varepsilon) \geq r_{F,\infty}(\varepsilon)$ for any nonempty finite subset F of Γ and $\varepsilon > 0$, in the proof of Theorem 1.1 we actually have proved the following result.

Corollary 9.1. *Let Γ be a countable amenable group and let $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ be invertible in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$. For any $\frac{1}{8\|f\|_1} \geq \varepsilon > 0$ and any (left) Følner sequence $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of Γ, one has*

$$\mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log r_{F_n,\infty}(\varepsilon) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \log s_{F_n,\infty}(\varepsilon).$$

The follow result is a consequence of Theorems 1.1 and 2.2.

Corollary 9.2. *Let Γ be a countable amenable group and let $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ be invertible in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$. Then $\mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) = \mathrm{h}(\alpha_{f^*})$.*

The following result is a generalization of [17, Corollary 6.6], whose proof we follow.

Corollary 9.3. *Let Γ be a countable amenable group and let $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ be invertible in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$ with $0 \leq f \leq g$. Then $\mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) \leq \mathrm{h}(\alpha_g)$. Furthermore, $\mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) = \mathrm{h}(\alpha_g)$ if and only if $f = g$.*

Proof. The inequality follows from Theorems 1.1 and 2.2. Suppose that $\mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) = \mathrm{h}(\alpha_g)$. Set $h = \log g - \log f$. Then

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(h) = \mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} \log g - \mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} \log f = \log \det{}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} g - \log \det{}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} f = \mathrm{h}(\alpha_g) - \mathrm{h}(\alpha_f) = 0.$$

Note that the function log is *operator monotone* in the sense that for any invertible bounded positive operators T, S on a Hilbert space H with $T \leq S$, one has $\log T \leq \log S$ [46] [64, Page 10]. Thus $h \geq 0$. Since $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}$ is faithful and $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma} h = 0$, we get $h = 0$. Thus $f = g$. □

Appendix A. Comparison of Invertibility in $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$

A Banach complex algebra A with an operation $*$ is called a *Banach $*$-algebra* if $(a^*)^* = a$, $(\lambda a + b)^* = \bar{\lambda} a^* + b^*$, $(ab)^* = b^* a^*$, and $\|a^*\| = \|a\|$ for all $a, b \in A$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. A *representation* of a Banach $*$-algebra A on a Hilbert space H is a $*$-homomorphism $\pi : A \to B(H)$. A Banach $*$-algebra A is called an A^*-algebra if it has an injective representation π. For an A^*-algebra A, there is a C^*-algebra $C^*(A)$ and an injective $*$-homomorphism $A \hookrightarrow C^*(A)$ with dense image such that every $*$-homomorphism $A \to \mathscr{B}$ of A into a C^*-algebra $\mathscr{B}$ extends to a unique $*$-homomorphism $C^*(A) \to \mathscr{B}$. The C^*-algebra $C^*(A)$ is unique up to isomorphism, and is called the *enveloping C^*-algebra* of A [75, page 42]. Explicitly, the norm $\|\cdot\|_{C^*(A)}$ of $C^*(A)$ is given by $\|a\|_{C^*(A)} = \sup_\pi \|\pi(a)\|$ for $a \in A$, where π runs over all representations of A.

A unital Banach $*$-algebra A is called *symmetric* if for each $a \in A$, the spectrum of $a^* a$ in A is contained in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. It is well known that a unital A^*-algebra A is symmetric if and only if for each $a \in A$, the spectra of a in A and $C^*(A)$ are the same. We recall briefly the reason here. The "if" part follows from the fact that every C^*-algebra is symmetric. Assume that A is symmetric. By a result of Raikov [65] [58, page 308, Corollary 4], for every $a \in A$ with $a^* = a$, the spectral radius of a in A is equal to $\|a\|_{C^*(A)}$. According to an observation of Hulanicki [27, Proposition 2.5] (see also [22, Proposition 6.1]), for every $a \in A$ with $a^* = a$, the spectra of a in A and $C^*(A)$ are the same. It follows that for every $a \in A$, the spectra of a in A and $C^*(A)$ are the same (see for example [22, page 804]).

Let Γ be a discrete (not necessarily amenable) group. Then $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ is a unital Banach $*$-algebra with the algebraic operations extending those of $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$. The embedding $\mathbb{C}\Gamma \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}\Gamma$ extends to an injective representation $\ell^1(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}\Gamma$. Thus $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ is an A^*-algebra, and for every $a \in \ell^1(\Gamma)$, if a is invertible in $\ell^1(\Gamma)$, then it is invertible in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$. The enveloping C^*-algebra of $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ is denoted by $C^*(\Gamma)$ and is called the *(maximal) group C^*-algebra* of Γ. The embedding $\ell^1(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}\Gamma$ extends to a $*$-homomorphism $\psi : C^*(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{L}\Gamma$. The group Γ is amenable if and only if ψ is injective [63, Theorem

4.21]. Thus, when Γ is amenable, $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ is symmetric if and only if for any $a \in \ell^1(\Gamma)$, the spectra of a in $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$ are the same.

If Γ is a finite extensions of a discrete nilpotent group, then $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ is symmetric [38, 49], and hence for any $a \in \ell^1(\Gamma)$, a is invertible in $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ if and only if it is invertible in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$.

Nica showed that if Γ is a finitely generated group of subexponential growth, then for any $a \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma$, a is invertible in $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ if and only if it is invertible in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$ [59, page 3309].

Jenkins [29, 30] showed that if Γ is a discrete group containing two elements generating a free subsemigroup, then $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ is not symmetric. Under the same assumption, Nica showed that there exist $a \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma$ which are invertible in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$ but not invertible in $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ [59, Proposition 52]. In fact, in such case there exist $a \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ which are invertible in $C^*(\Gamma)$ (in particular, invertible in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$) but not invertible in $\ell^1(\Gamma)$, as the following example shows. This example is inspired by the ideas in [30]. I am grateful to Jingbo Xia for very helpful discussion leading to this example.

Example A.1. Let Γ be a discrete group with elements $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ generating a free subsemigroup. We claim that for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| = 3$, the element

$$a = \lambda e_\Gamma - (e_\Gamma + \gamma_1 - \gamma_1^2)\gamma_2$$

is invertible in $C^*(\Gamma)$ but not invertible in $\ell^1(\Gamma)$. Taking $\lambda = \pm 3$, we get $a \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$. The spectrum of γ_1 in $C^*(\Gamma)$ is contained in the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$ of $\mathbb{C}$. By the spectral theorem for unitaries,

$$\|e_\Gamma + \gamma_1 - \gamma_1^2\|_{C^*(\Gamma)} \leq \max_{z \in \mathbb{T}} |1 + z - z^2| < 3.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(e_\Gamma + \gamma_1 - \gamma_1^2)\gamma_2\|_{C^*(\Gamma)} &\leq \|e_\Gamma + \gamma_1 - \gamma_1^2\|_{C^*(\Gamma)} \cdot \|\gamma_2\|_{C^*(\Gamma)} \\ &= \|e_\Gamma + \gamma_1 - \gamma_1^2\|_{C^*(\Gamma)} < 3. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that a is invertible in $C^*(\Gamma)$, and its inverse is given by

$$\lambda^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-k} ((e_\Gamma + \gamma_1 - \gamma_1^2)\gamma_2)^k.$$

From the natural homomorphism $C^*(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{L}\Gamma$, we see that a is also invertible in $\mathcal{L}\Gamma$ with inverse b given by the above formula. Under the natural embedding $\mathcal{L}\Gamma \to \ell^2(\Gamma)$, $b = \lambda^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-k} ((e_\Gamma + \gamma_1 - \gamma_1^2)\gamma_2)^k \in \ell^2(\Gamma)$. Since γ_1 and γ_2 generate a free subsemigroup, it is easily checked that the supports of $((e_\Gamma + \gamma_1 - \gamma_1^2)\gamma_2)^k$ for $k \geq 0$ are pairwise disjoint and $\|((e_\Gamma + \gamma_1 - \gamma_1^2)\gamma_2)^k\|_1 = 3^k$ for each $k \geq 0$. It follows that $\lambda^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-k} ((e_\Gamma + \gamma_1 - \gamma_1^2)\gamma_2)^k \notin \ell^1(\Gamma)$. If a were invertible in $\ell^1(\Gamma)$, then its inverse in $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ would be b and hence $b \in \ell^1(\Gamma)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore a is not invertible in $\ell^1(\Gamma)$.

There are discrete amenable groups which contain two elements generating free subsemigroups [26, 28]. Actually Frey showed that every discrete amenable group with nonamenable subsemigroups has such elements [23]. Also, Chou showed that if a finitely generated elementary amenable group has no finite-index nilpotent subgroups, then it contains such elements [9, Theorem 3.2']. We recall the examples in [28]. Consider the action of the multiplicative group $\mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ on the additive group $\mathbb{R}$ by multiplication. One has the semi-direct product group $\mathbb{R} \rtimes \mathbb{R}^*$, which is $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^*$ as a set and has multiplication $(s_1, t_1) \cdot (s_2, t_2) = (s_1 + t_1 s_2, t_1 t_2)$. For any $0 \leq a \leq 1/2$, the subgroup Γ_a of $\mathbb{R} \rtimes \mathbb{R}^*$ generated by $(1, a)$ and $(1, -a)$ is 2-step solvable (and hence amenable), and $(1, a)$ and $(1, -a)$ generate a free subsemigroup in Γ_a.

References

[1] W. Arveson. *An Invitation to C^*-algebras.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 39. Springer-Verlag, New York, reprinted 1998.

[2] K. R. Berg. Convolution of invariant measures, maximal entropy. *Math. Systems Theory* **3** (1969), 146–150.

[3] V. Bergelson and A. Gorodnik. Ergodicity and mixing of non-commuting epimorphisms. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* **95** (2007), no. 2, 329–359.

[4] L. Bowen. Measure conjugacy invariants for actions of countable sofic groups. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23** (2010), 217-245.

[5] L. Bowen. Entropy for expansive algebraic actions of residually finite groups. *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* **31** (2011), no. 3, 703–718.

[6] L. Bowen. Sofic entropy and amenable groups. *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* to appear.

[7] L. Bowen and H. Li. Harmonic models and spanning forests of residually finite groups. Preprint, 2011.

[8] R. Bowen. Entropy for group endomorphisms and homogeneous spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **153** (1971), 401–414. Erratum, **181** (1973), 509–510.

[9] C. Chou. Elementary amenable groups. *Illinois J. Math.* **24** (1980), no. 3, 396–407.

[10] J. B. Conway. *A Course in Functional Analysis.* Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 96. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.

[11] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane. *Sphere Packings, Lattices and Groups.* Third edition. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.

[12] A. I. Danilenko. Entropy theory from the orbital point of view. *Monatsh. Math.* **134** (2001), 121–141.

[13] C. Deninger. Fuglede-Kadison determinants and entropy for actions of discrete amenable groups. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **19** (2006), 737–758.

[14] C. Deninger. Mahler measures and Fuglede–Kadison determinants. *Münster J. Math.* **2** (2009), 45–63.

[15] C. Deninger. p-adic Entropy and a p-adic Fuglede-Kadison determinant. In: *Algebra, Arithmetic, and Geometry Volume I: In Honor of Yu. I. Manin*, pp. 423–442, Progr. Math., 269. Edited by Y. Tschinkel and Y. Zarhin. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2009.

[16] C. Deninger. Determinants on von Neumann algebras, Mahler measures and Ljapunov exponents. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **651** (2011), 165–185.

[17] C. Deninger and K. Schmidt. Expansive algebraic actions of discrete residually finite amenable groups and their entropy. *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* **27** (2007), 769–786.

[18] J. Dodziuk, P. Linnell, V. Mathai, T. Schick, and S. Yates. Approximating L^2-invariants and the Atiyah conjecture. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **56** (2003), 839–873.

[19] T. Downarowicz and J. Serafin. Fiber entropy and conditional variational principles in compact non-metrizable spaces. *Fund. Math.* **172** (2002), 217–247.

[20] M. Einsiedler and H. Rindler. Algebraic actions of the discrete Heisenberg group and other non-abelian groups. *Aequationes Math.* **62** (2001), 117–135.

[21] M. Einsiedler and T. Ward. Entropy geometry and disjointness for zero-dimensional algebraic actions. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **584** (2005), 195–214.

[22] G. Fendler, K. Gröchenig, M. Leinert, J. Ludwig, and C. Molitor-Braun. Weighted group algebras on groups of polynomial growth. *Math. Z.* **245** (2003), no. 4, 791–821.

[23] A. H. Frey. Studies in amenable semigroups. Thesis, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, 1960.

[24] B. Fuglede and R. V. Kadison. Determinant theory in finite factors. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **55** (1952), 520–530.

[25] E. Glasner. *Ergodic Theory via Joinings.* American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.

[26] M. Hochster. Subsemigroups of amenable groups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **21** (1969), 363–364.

[27] A. Hulanicki. On the spectrum of convolution operators on groups with polynomial growth. *Invent. Math.* **17** (1972), 135–142.

[28] J. W. Jenkins. An amenable group with a non-symmetric group algebra. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **75** (1969), 357–360.

[29] J. W. Jenkins. Symmetry and nonsymmetry in the group algebras of discrete groups. *Pacific J. Math.* **32** (1970), 131–145.

[30] J. W. Jenkins. On the spectral radius of elements in a group algebra. *Illinois J. Math.* **15** (1971), 551–554.

[31] R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose. *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras. Vol. I. Elementary Theory.* Pure and Applied Mathematics, 100. Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York, 1983.

[32] A. S. Kechris. *Classical Descriptive Set Theory.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 156. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[33] J. L. Kelley. *General Topology.* Reprint of the 1955 edition [Van Nostrand, Toronto, Ont.]. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 27. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1975.

[34] D. Kerr and H. Li. Entropy and the variational principle for actions of sofic groups. *Invent. Math.* **186** (2011), no. 3, 501–558.

[35] D. Kerr and H. Li. Soficity, amenability and dynamical entropy. *Amer. J. Math.* to appear.

[36] B. Kitchens and K. Schmidt. Isomorphism rigidity of irreducible algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^d$-actions. *Invent. Math.* **142** (2000), no. 3, 559–577.

[37] S. Lang. *Algebra.* Revised third edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 211. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.

[38] H. Leptin and D. Poguntke. Symmetry and nonsymmetry for locally compact groups. *J. Funct. Anal.* **33** (1979), no. 2, 119–134.

[39] D. Lind. The structure of skew products with ergodic group automorphisms. *Israel J. Math.* **28** (1977), no. 3, 205–248.

[40] D. Lind and K. Schmidt. Homoclinic points of algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^d$-actions. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **12** (1999), no. 4, 953–980.

[41] D. Lind and K. Schmidt. Symbolic and algebraic dynamical systems. In: *Handbook of Dynamical Systems, Vol. 1A,* pp. 765–812, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002.

[42] D. Lind, K. Schmidt, and T. Ward. Mahler measure and entropy for commuting automorphisms of compact groups. *Invent. Math.* **101** (1990), 593–629.

[43] D. Lind and T. Ward. Automorphisms of solenoids and p-adic entropy. *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* **8** (1988), no. 3, 411–419.

[44] E. Lindenstrauss and B. Weiss. Mean topological dimension. *Israel J. Math.* **115** (2000), 1–24.

[45] P. A. Linnell. Division rings and group von Neumann algebras. *Forum Math.* **5** (1993), 561–576.

[46] K. Löwner. Über monotone Matrixfunktionen. *Math. Z.* **38** (1934), 177–216.

[47] W. Lück. Approximating L^2-invariants by their finite-dimensional analogues. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **4** (1994), no. 4, 455–481.

[48] W. Lück. *L^2-Invariants: Theory and Applications to Geometry and K-theory.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[49] J. Ludwig. A class of symmetric and a class of Wiener group algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.* **31** (1979), no. 2, 187–194.

[50] K. Mahler. An application of Jensen's formula to polynomials. *Mathematika* **7** (1960), 98–100.

[51] K. Mahler. On some inequalities for polynomials in several variables. *J. London Math. Soc.* **37** (1962), 341–344.

[52] G. Miles and R. K. Thomas. Generalized torus automorphisms are Bernoullian. In: *Studies in Probability and Ergodic Theory*, pp. 231–249, Adv. in Math. Suppl. Stud., 2, Academic Press, New York-London, 1978.

[53] R. Miles. The entropy of algebraic actions of countable torsion-free abelian groups. *Fund. Math.* **201** (2008), 261–282.

[54] R. Miles and M. Björklund. Entropy range problems and actions of locally normal groups. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **25** (2009), no. 3, 981–989.

[55] R. Miles and T. Ward. Orbit-counting for nilpotent group shifts. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **137** (2009), no. 4, 1499–1507.

[56] G. Mislin and A. Valette. *Proper Group Actions and the Baum-Connes Conjecture.* Advanced Courses in Mathematics. CRM Barcelona. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2003.

[57] J. Moulin Ollagnier. *Ergodic Theory and Statistical Mechanics.* Lecture Notes in Math., 1115. Springer, Berlin, 1985.

[58] M. A. Naĭmark. *Normed Algebras.* Translated from the second Russian edition by Leo F. Boron. Third edition. Wolters-Noordhoff Series of Monographs and Textbooks on Pure and Applied Mathematics. Wolters-Noordhoff Publishing, Groningen, 1972.

[59] B. Nica. Relatively spectral morphisms and applications to K-theory. *J. Funct. Anal.* **255** (2008), no. 12, 3303–3328.

[60] D. S. Ornstein and B. Weiss. Entropy and isomorphism theorems for actions of amenable groups. *J. Analyse Math.* **48** (1987), 1–141.

[61] W. Parry. *Topics in Ergodic Theory.* Reprint of the 1981 original. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 75. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.

[62] D. S. Passman. *The Algebraic Structure of Group Rings.* Pure and Applied Mathematics. Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York-London-Sydney, 1977.

[63] A. L. T. Paterson. *Amenability.* Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 29. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1988.

[64] G. K. Pedersen. *C^*-algebras and their Automorphism Groups.* London Mathematical Society Monographs, 14. Academic Press, Inc., London-New York, 1979

[65] D. A. Raikov. To the theory of normed rings with involution. *C. R. (Doklady) Acad. Sci. URSS (N.S.)* **54** (1946), 387–390.

[66] W. Rudin. *Real and Complex Analysis.* Third edition. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1987.

[67] D. J. Rudolph and K. Schmidt. Almost block independence and Bernoullicity of Z^d-actions by automorphisms of compact abelian groups. *Invent. Math.* **120** (1995), no. 3, 455–488.

[68] D. J. Rudolph and B. Weiss. Entropy and mixing for amenable group actions. *Ann. Math.* **151** (2000), 1119–1150.

[69] T. Schick. Integrality of L^2-Betti numbers. *Math. Ann.* **317** (2000), 727–750. Erratum, **322** (2002), 421–422.

[70] T. Schick. L^2-determinant class and approximation of L^2-Betti numbers. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353** (2001), no. 8, 3247–3265.

[71] K. Schmidt. *Dynamical Systems of Algebraic Origin.* Progress in Mathematics, 128. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1995.

[72] K. Schmidt. The dynamics of algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^d$-actions. In: *European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. I (Barcelona, 2000)*, pp. 543–553, Progr. Math., 201, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.

[73] K. Schmidt and T. Ward. Mixing automorphisms of compact groups and a theorem of Schlickewei. *Invent. Math.* **111** (1993), no. 1, 69–76.

[74] R. Solomyak. On coincidence of entropies for two classes of dynamical systems. *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* **18** (1998), no. 3, 731–738.

[75] M. Takesaki. *Theory of Operator Algebras. I.* Reprint of the first (1979) edition. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 124. Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 5. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[76] R. K. Thomas. The addition theorem for the entropy of transformations of G-spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **160** (1971), 119–130.

[77] P. Walters. *An Introduction to Ergodic Theory.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 79. Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, 1982.

[78] T. Ward. The Bernoulli property for expansive $\mathbb{Z}^2$ actions on compact groups. *Israel J. Math.* **79** (1992), no. 2-3, 225–249.

[79] T. Ward and Q. Zhang. The Abramov-Rokhlin entropy addition formula for amenable group actions. *Monatsh. Math.* **114** (1992), 317–329.

[80] B. Weiss. Monotileable amenable groups. In: *Topology, Ergodic Theory, Real Algebraic Geometry*, pp. 257–262, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 202, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.

[81] S. A. Yuzvinskiĭ. Metric properties of the endomorphisms of compact groups. (Russian) *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **29** (1965), 1295–1328. Translated in *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2)* **66** (1968), 63–98.

[82] S. A. Yuzvinskiĭ. Computing the entropy of a group of endomorphisms. (Russian) *Sibirsk. Mat. $\hat{Z}$.* **8** (1967), 230–239. Translated in *Siberian Math. J.* **8** (1967), 172–178.

Department of Mathematics, Chongqing University, Chongqing 401331, China.
Department of Mathematics, SUNY at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY 14260-2900, U.S.A.
E-mail address: hfli@math.buffalo.edu